

WAYS TO IMPROVE THE QUALITY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION LESSONS FOR FIFTH- AND SIXTH-GRADE STUDENTS IN GENERAL EDUCATION SCHOOLS

Khasanova Dilnora Rustam kizi

Master's Student, Samarkand State Pedagogical Institute

Eshnazarov Zhurakul Eshnazarovich

Professor, Samarkand State Pedagogical Institute

Abstract

This article examines pedagogical and organizational approaches to improving the quality and effectiveness of physical education among fifth- and sixth-grade students in general education schools. Drawing on international health recommendations, UNESCO guidance and peer-reviewed research, it considers how lesson structure, motor-skill development, differentiated instruction, motivation and formative assessment can be combined into a coherent teaching approach. Particular attention is given to the transition between primary and lower-secondary schooling, when differences in prior movement experience and physical development become increasingly visible. A practical lesson-planning model and criteria for monitoring instructional quality are proposed. The article is a narrative, evidence-informed analysis rather than a report of an original experiment. Its central argument is that better physical education should be evaluated not only by exercise intensity or fitness scores but also by meaningful participation, technical progress, safety and students' willingness to remain active beyond school.

Keywords: Physical education, fifth grade, sixth grade, instructional effectiveness, motor competence, active learning, differentiated instruction, formative assessment.

Introduction

The quality of a physical education lesson cannot be judged simply by how tired students feel at the end. A crowded sports field may look active, yet some pupils can spend much of the lesson waiting for a turn. A demanding fitness test may produce numerical results without showing whether children have learned to move more confidently or work constructively with others. These distinctions are especially relevant in Grades 5 and 6, when pupils enter a new phase of schooling and bring markedly different sporting experiences into the same class.

Physical education is one part of a broader pattern of daily activity. The World Health Organization recommends that children and adolescents aged 5–17 should achieve an average of at least 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity per day across the week, with vigorous aerobic and muscle- and bone-strengthening activities included on at least three days [1, 25–29]. This is a population-level health recommendation, not a requirement for sixty minutes of continuous vigorous exercise in every school lesson. School physical education should contribute to it while also pursuing distinct educational goals.

UNESCO characterizes quality physical education as a planned, progressive and inclusive learning experience that supports physical literacy and lifelong participation. Its guidance treats equitable access, appropriate curriculum design, qualified teachers and systematic monitoring as interconnected conditions of quality [2, 24–25; 2, 32–54]. From this standpoint, improving a Grade 5 or Grade 6 lesson means improving the learning opportunities available to every pupil, not merely raising the performance of the strongest athletes.

The purpose of this article is to identify evidence-informed methods for strengthening physical education at this stage and to integrate them into a realistic model of classroom practice. The guiding question is practical: how can a teacher increase meaningful physical activity and skill learning without sacrificing safety, inclusion or motivation?

Materials and Methods

The study uses a narrative analytical review of published recommendations and research on school physical education. Its evidence base consists of WHO physical activity guidelines, UNESCO quality physical education guidance, systematic reviews of activity-oriented interventions, teacher training and student motivation, and a study comparing different approaches to teaching games.

Sources were selected for relevance to school-aged learners and their capacity to inform lesson planning. The analysis groups recommendations under five dimensions: movement participation, motor learning, differentiated instruction, motivational climate and assessment.

This is not a systematic review with a new database search protocol, nor an empirical trial involving pupils from a specific school. Consequently, examples and operational indicators presented below are pedagogical proposals. They should not be interpreted as locally measured outcomes or as experimentally validated results for all Grade 5–6 settings.

Literature Review and Scientific Basis

Research supports the possibility of making physical education lessons more active. In a meta-analysis of fourteen school-based intervention studies, Lonsdale and colleagues reported that students in intervention conditions spent more lesson time in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity than those receiving usual practice. The authors also emphasized heterogeneity and methodological limitations, making it inappropriate to assume that every strategy will have the same effect in every school [3, 152–161].

A later systematic review by Wong and colleagues examined interventions in children aged eight to eleven. Five studies, involving 1,452 participants, met its criteria; four could be combined in a meta-analysis. The reported between-group difference in moderate-to-vigorous activity at follow-up was 14.3 percentage points of lesson time, with a 95% confidence interval of 2.7 to 25.8 percentage points [4, 836–845]. This result is encouraging, but it should not be transferred mechanically to sixth-graders, older children or poorly equipped schools. The studies also varied in their teaching arrangements.

Activity quantity, however, is only one part of lesson quality. Children need progressively more complex locomotor, balance, object-control and game-related skills. If a lesson consists solely of running or competitive matches, less experienced pupils may have few opportunities to practise the movements that make participation enjoyable. Deliberate practice, repeated opportunities to respond and feedback about specific techniques therefore remain essential. The competence of the teacher matters as well. Lander and colleagues reviewed school-based physical education interventions designed to improve fundamental movement skills and/or physical activity, with particular attention to the nature of

teacher training [5, 135–161]. Their work supports the importance of treating teachers' professional learning as part of programme design rather than relying only on lesson equipment or written plans.

Motivation deserves equal consideration. A large systematic review and meta-analysis applying self-determination theory to physical education reported associations between autonomous motivation and adaptive student outcomes. Perceptions of autonomy, competence and relatedness were also associated with more self-determined motivation [6, 1444–1469]. For a teacher, this points towards understandable tasks, achievable challenges, respectful feedback and opportunities for pupils to make limited but meaningful choices. It does not mean that structure should disappear. Children often need clear demonstrations and boundaries to practise safely.

Finally, game-based methods require a balanced interpretation. In a study with eleven- to twelve-year-olds, Smith and colleagues compared direct instruction with a tactical games model. Effects differed by activity and gender; the game-based model did not uniformly improve every outcome [7, 93–113]. Accordingly, small-sided games may be useful for involvement and decision-making, but their value depends on thoughtful grouping, rules, task complexity and the opportunity for each pupil to engage.

Results of the Analytical Synthesis and Discussion

Designing lessons around clear and observable learning goals

A strong lesson begins with a small number of specific objectives. For example, students may be expected to maintain balance while changing direction, pass a ball accurately to a moving partner, or apply two agreed rules of cooperative play. Such objectives are more informative than an instruction simply to “improve fitness.” They give the teacher a basis for choosing tasks and allow pupils to understand what progress looks like.

For Grades 5 and 6, objectives should link familiar movements to more complex combinations. A teacher might first demonstrate a safe landing, then ask pupils to apply it after a short jump, and eventually include the landing in an obstacle course. The progression preserves continuity. It also reveals who needs further support before the class moves on.

Increasing purposeful movement while reducing waiting time

Organizational decisions shape how active a lesson becomes. If one ball is shared by thirty pupils in a single line, even an energetic demonstration cannot prevent long intervals of inactivity. Rotating stations, pairs, small groups and additional low-cost markers often permit more simultaneous practice. Brief instructions and prepared equipment can save further time. Teachers should observe not only whether a game is exciting but also how many children are actually moving, practising or making decisions.

A simple observation log can record periods of active practice, standing in line, receiving instruction and recovery. This provides a starting point for improvement without requiring wearable devices. Any comparison should use a consistent observation method and similar lesson conditions. Time spent explaining safety, teaching a difficult technique or providing necessary rest is not automatically wasted time; its educational value must be considered together with movement intensity.

Differentiating tasks without dividing pupils into fixed ability groups

Differences in coordination, confidence, fitness and experience become highly visible at this age. A task that feels easy to a child who attends a sports club may be daunting to a classmate who has rarely played the game. One solution is to preserve a common learning goal while offering different levels of challenge. For catching practice, pupils could choose among a larger soft ball at a short distance, a standard ball at an intermediate distance and a moving-partner variation. All pupils work on tracking, receiving and controlling an object, although the demands differ.

The levels should remain flexible. Students can move from one version to another as their skill develops, without receiving permanent labels such as “weak” or “strong.” Adapted rules, space and equipment can support the participation of pupils with disabilities or temporary restrictions, in accordance with individual needs and applicable medical advice. Such planning reflects UNESCO’s emphasis on inclusive provision [2, 32–38].

Combining technique, play and student motivation

Game elements can transform repetition into a meaningful challenge. Yet games work best when the rules serve the learning objective. In a modified passing game,

a point might be awarded only after every team member has received the ball. In a movement circuit, teams might try to improve the quality of their own performance rather than defeat another group. These rules encourage involvement without making public comparison the centre of the lesson.

Teachers can also strengthen motivation through small choices: which station to visit first, which ball size to use, or which personal target to practise. Constructive feedback should describe actions rather than personal qualities. “Keep your eyes on the ball until it reaches your hands” is more useful than announcing that a pupil is naturally talented or hopeless at catching. A supportive climate does not remove competition altogether; it ensures that winning does not become the only recognized form of success [6, 1444–1469].

A proposed 45-minute lesson model

An example lesson can be organized into five connected phases: five minutes for attendance, objective-setting and a brief safety briefing; eight minutes of progressive dynamic movement and coordination exercises; twenty minutes of three or four skill-practice stations with short rotations; eight minutes of a small-sided game applying the practiced skill; and four minutes for gradual recovery, feedback and a personal progress check. The timings total forty-five minutes and are illustrative rather than a nationally prescribed schedule. The same model can be adapted to a shorter or longer period and to the school’s official curriculum. A lesson on ball handling would use different practice stations from one on running mechanics or balance. The purpose of this example is not to claim that one timetable is optimal; it is to show how instruction, purposeful repetition, playful application and assessment can form a continuous learning sequence.

Assessing the quality of teaching and learning

Assessment should capture progress across several domains. Motor performance may be documented through a short skill checklist: control, coordination, accuracy and safe execution. Participation can be tracked through teacher observation, while understanding can be assessed with a brief question about technique, teamwork or health. A pupil’s willingness to attempt a new task and contribute respectfully to group work offers additional information that a sprint time cannot provide. Initial and follow-up observations are especially valuable. Rather than ranking everyone by the same absolute result, teachers can review

whether an individual has improved from a previous level. Records should be manageable: a small rubric used repeatedly may be more informative than a complicated scoring system completed only once a term. Feedback must remain respectful and private when it concerns sensitive individual differences. Assessment findings should also shape the next lesson, so that evaluation supports teaching instead of merely producing grades.

Practical Recommendations for General Education Schools

Schools seeking to improve physical education can begin with a modest cycle of instructional review. First, teachers identify the movement and learning objectives prescribed by the relevant curriculum. Second, they observe a small sample of lessons to determine where waiting, exclusion or unnecessary transitions occur. Third, they redesign selected activities through smaller groups, increased practice opportunities and differentiated levels of difficulty. Fourth, they gather comparable evidence on participation, skill execution and students' experiences. The cycle should then be repeated, using the results to adjust rather than to punish teachers or pupils.

School leadership has a complementary role. Safe surfaces, sufficient basic equipment, suitable space and opportunities for professional development affect what teachers can realistically deliver. Peer lesson observation can be useful when conducted as collaborative learning. Families may also be encouraged to support enjoyable movement outside class, but school assessment should not assume that every child has equal access to clubs, transport or paid activities.

Limitations

The reviewed evidence comes from different countries, age groups, school systems and intervention designs. Some studies involve primary pupils younger than Grade 6; others include a wider adolescent population. Therefore, findings provide a scientific rationale for proposed practices rather than proof of their effectiveness in a particular national curriculum. The suggested lesson model has not been experimentally tested as part of this article. Implementation should be assessed locally, including the needs of pupils with disabilities, available facilities, climate, class size and timetable constraints.

Conclusion

Improving physical education for fifth- and sixth-grade students is primarily a question of designing better learning experiences. Higher activity levels are valuable, but they become educationally meaningful when combined with increasingly confident movement, informed decision-making, supportive relationships and accessible participation. Scientific reviews indicate that intervention strategies can increase active lesson time, while motivation research explains why choice, competence and belonging matter. Neither line of evidence supports a single universal lesson format. The most workable approach is therefore integrated: establish clear objectives, create more opportunities for each pupil to practise, adapt tasks to individual needs, use purposeful small-sided games and evaluate individual progress over time. Teacher development and supportive school conditions are necessary to sustain these improvements. A physical education lesson succeeds when more children learn, participate safely and leave with a stronger reason to be active again.

References

1. World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour. Geneva: WHO; 2020. pp. 25–29. ISBN 978-92-4-001512-8. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240015128>
2. McLennan N, Thompson J. Quality Physical Education (QPE): Guidelines for Policy-Makers. Paris: UNESCO; 2015. 88 p. ISBN 978-92-3-100059-1. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000231101>
3. Lonsdale C, Rosenkranz RR, Peralta LR, Bennie A, Fahey P, Lubans DR. A systematic review and meta-analysis of interventions designed to increase moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in school physical education lessons. *Preventive Medicine*. 2013;56(2):152–161. Wong LS, Gibson A-M, Farooq A, Reilly JJ. Interventions to increase moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in elementary school physical education lessons: systematic review. *Journal of School Health*. 2021;91(10):836–845. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josh.13070>
4. Lander N, Eather N, Morgan PJ, Salmon J, Barnett LM. Characteristics of teacher training in school-based physical education interventions to improve fundamental movement skills and/or physical activity: a systematic review. *Sports Medicine*. 2017;47(1):135–161.

5. Vasconcellos D, Parker PD, Hilland T, et al. Self-determination theory applied to physical education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2020;112(7):1444–1469.
6. Smith L, Harvey S, Savory L, Fairclough SJ, Kozub S, Kerr C. Physical activity levels and motivational responses of boys and girls: a comparison of direct instruction and tactical games models of games teaching in physical education. *European Physical Education Review*. 2015;21(1):93–113.