Educator Insights: A Journal of Teaching Theory and Practice
Volume 2, Issue 11, November 2026
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6964
Licensed under CC BY 4.0 *a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.*

ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF AEROBIC AND ANAEROBIC RESPIRATION IN MICROORGANISMS

Raxmatullayeva Maxfuza Igamberdiyevna
Senior Lecturer, Department of Professional Disciplines
Angren University

Abstract

This review compares energy conservation during aerobic and anaerobic respiration in microorganisms. It examines how the terminal electron acceptor, the organization of the electron transport chain, and the coupling of electron transport to ATP synthesis influence the amount of energy conserved from a substrate. Aerobic respiration uses oxygen as the terminal electron acceptor, whereas anaerobic respiration can use alternatives such as nitrate, sulfate, or fumarate. When the electron donor is the same, oxygen generally provides a greater thermodynamic driving force than these alternatives. The ATP yield also depends on which steps in the respiratory chain generate an ion gradient and how that gradient is used. The review distinguishes anaerobic respiration from fermentation, in which ATP is typically produced through substrate-level phosphorylation. It also distinguishes ATP yield per unit of substrate from the rate of ATP production and the fraction of available energy conserved as ATP. For medical students in Uzbekistan, these distinctions provide a framework for interpreting how microorganisms conserve energy in environments with different oxygen levels, including the human intestinal tract.

Keywords: Microbial respiration, aerobic respiration, anaerobic respiration, terminal electron acceptor, electron transport chain, ATP yield, fermentation.

Introduction

Raxmatullayeva Maxfuza Igamberdiyevna
Angren universiteti
Kasbiy fanlar kafedrasi katta o’qituvchisi.

Educator Insights: A Journal of Teaching Theory and Practice
Volume 2, Issue 11, November 2026
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6964
Licensed under CC BY 4.0 *a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.*

Annotatsiya

Mazkur sharhda mikroorganizmlarning aerob va anaerob nafas olish jarayonlarida energiyani saqlash mexanizmlari taqqoslanadi. Yakuniy elektron akseptori, elektron tashish zanjirining tuzilishi va elektron tashishning ATF sintezi bilan bog‘lanishi substratdan olinadigan energiyaning qancha qismi saqlanishiga qanday ta’sir qilishi ko‘rib chiqiladi. Aerob nafas olishda kislorod yakuniy elektron akseptori vazifasini bajaradi, anaerob nafas olishda esa nitrat, sulfat yoki fumarat kabi muqobil akseptorlardan foydalanilishi mumkin. Elektron donori bir xil bo‘lganda, kislorod odatda ushbu muqobil akseptorlarga qaraganda kattaroq termodinamik harakatlantiruvchi kuchni ta’minlaydi. ATF chiqimi, shuningdek, nafas olish zanjirining qaysi bosqichlarida ion gradiyenti hosil bo‘lishi va bu gradiyentdan qanday foydalanilishiga bog‘liq. Sharhda anaerob nafas olish ATF odatda substrat darajasidagi fosforlanish orqali hosil bo‘ladigan fermentatsiyadan farqlanadi. Shuningdek, substrat birligiga to‘g‘ri keladigan ATF chiqimi, ATF hosil bo‘lish tezligi va mavjud energiyaning ATF shaklida saqlanadigan ulushi alohida tushunchalar sifatida ko‘rib chiqiladi. Ushbu farqlar O‘zbekistondagi tibbiyot yo‘nalishi talabalariga mikroorganizmlar kislorod darajasi turlicha bo‘lgan muhitlarda, jumladan, inson ichak tizimida energiyani qanday saqlashini tushunishga yordam beradi.

Kalit so‘zlar: mikroorganizmlarning nafas olishi, aerob nafas olish, anaerob nafas olish, yakuniy elektron akseptori, elektron tashish zanjiri, ATF chiqimi, fermentatsiya.

Introduction

Microorganisms conserve energy from chemical reactions to support growth, cellular maintenance, and the transport of substances across membranes. During respiration, electrons released from a donor pass through an electron transport chain to a terminal acceptor. Energy released during this transfer can generate an ion gradient across a membrane. ATP synthase uses that gradient to produce adenosine triphosphate, which supplies energy for cellular processes.

Aerobic respiration uses oxygen as the terminal electron acceptor. Anaerobic respiration uses other acceptors, such as nitrate, sulfate, or fumarate, depending on the organism. With the same electron donor, oxygen generally provides a greater thermodynamic driving force than these alternative acceptors. The ATP

Educator Insights: A Journal of Teaching Theory and Practice
Volume 2, Issue 11, November 2026
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6964
Licensed under CC BY 4.0 *a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.*

yield also depends on how much of the energy released by electron transfer is conserved in the ion gradient and how that gradient is used. Consequently, the identity of the terminal acceptor is one factor in estimating how much ATP a microorganism can obtain from a given substrate.

This review aims to explain how electron acceptor choice and respiratory chain organization influence energy conservation in microorganisms. It considers ATP yield per unit of substrate, the rate of ATP production, and the fraction of available energy conserved as ATP. For medical students in Uzbekistan, these distinctions connect microbial physiology with the study of environments where oxygen availability varies, including different regions of the human intestinal tract.

Methods

This review uses a comparative approach to examine energy conservation during aerobic and anaerobic respiration. It organizes the comparison around oxygen, nitrate, sulfate, and fumarate as terminal electron acceptors. For each pathway, the analysis identifies the electron donor, the acceptor, and the steps that contribute to an ion gradient across the membrane. Comparisons of thermodynamic driving force use the same electron donor wherever possible, because the energy available from electron transfer depends on both members of the donor and acceptor pair.

The analysis follows energy transfer from substrate oxidation to ATP synthesis. It first considers the free energy available from the overall reaction, taking account of the chemical conditions under which the reaction occurs. It then examines how the respiratory chain conserves part of that energy in an ion gradient and how ATP synthase uses the gradient. ATP formed by substrate-level phosphorylation is considered separately from ATP formed through oxidative phosphorylation. Fermentation serves as a comparison for explaining how cells can regenerate oxidized electron carriers without respiratory electron transport.

The comparison uses three distinct measures. ATP yield is expressed per unit of substrate consumed, ATP production rate is expressed per unit of time, and energy conservation efficiency is expressed as the fraction of available free energy captured in ATP. For medical students, these measures provide a common basis for comparing microbial pathways.

Educator Insights: A Journal of Teaching Theory and Practice
Volume 2, Issue 11, November 2026
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6964
Licensed under CC BY 4.0 *a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.*

Results

The comparison showed that the choice of terminal electron acceptor influences the free energy available for ATP synthesis. With a common electron donor and comparable chemical conditions, oxygen generally provides a greater thermodynamic driving force than nitrate, sulfate, or fumarate. In each respiratory pathway, ATP yield depends on how much of the energy released during electron transfer is conserved in the ion gradient across the membrane.

The organization of the respiratory chain helps explain why microorganisms using the same terminal electron acceptor can obtain different ATP yields. Electrons can enter the chain at different points, and the routes they follow can differ in how much they contribute to the ion gradient. The amount of ATP produced also depends on how much of the gradient is used for other cellular processes, such as nutrient transport. Fermentation conserves energy chiefly through substrate-level phosphorylation and regenerates oxidized electron carriers by transferring electrons to compounds derived from the substrate.

The comparison also distinguished ATP yield from ATP production rate and energy conservation efficiency. A pathway that yields less ATP per unit of substrate can still produce ATP rapidly if the substrate is consumed at a higher rate. Energy conservation efficiency depends on the proportion of available free energy captured in ATP. Together, these measures describe the amount, speed, and efficiency of ATP production in microbial metabolism.

Discussion

The energetic advantage of aerobic respiration begins with the difference in reduction potential between the electron donor and oxygen. When microorganisms oxidize the same donor under comparable conditions, transferring electrons to oxygen generally releases more free energy than transferring them to nitrate, sulfate, or fumarate. This difference establishes how much energy is available for conservation. The amount captured in ATP depends on how electron transfer is coupled to the movement of ions across the membrane. Comparing terminal acceptors therefore involves both the chemistry of the overall reaction and the organization of the respiratory chain that carries it out.

The structure of the respiratory chain matters because electrons can enter it through different carriers. Electrons that enter downstream of a step that contributes to the ion gradient bypass that contribution. Consequently, two

Educator Insights: A Journal of Teaching Theory and Practice
Volume 2, Issue 11, November 2026
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6964
Licensed under CC BY 4.0 *a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.*

pathways that use the same terminal acceptor can conserve different amounts of energy per pair of electrons transferred. The gradient also supports cellular processes such as nutrient uptake. When a microorganism draws on the gradient for transport, the energy available to drive ATP synthesis depends partly on those competing demands. Following the path of electrons and the uses of the gradient helps explain differences in ATP yield across microorganisms.

Anaerobic respiration and fermentation illustrate different ways of maintaining electron flow when oxygen is unavailable. During anaerobic respiration, electrons pass through a respiratory chain to an acceptor such as nitrate or fumarate, and the resulting ion gradient can drive ATP synthesis. In many fermentative pathways, electron carriers are reoxidized when electrons are transferred to an organic intermediate derived from the substrate. For example, the reduction of pyruvate to lactate regenerates NAD^+, allowing glycolysis to continue. ATP in this example is formed during glycolysis through substrate-level phosphorylation. Tracing the electrons and identifying the step that forms ATP clarifies how each pathway supports cellular metabolism.

ATP yield, ATP production rate, and energy conservation efficiency answer different questions about these pathways. Yield indicates how much ATP is formed per unit of substrate consumed. Production rate indicates how much is formed in a given time, so it also depends on the rate of substrate consumption. A pathway with a lower yield can produce ATP at a higher rate if it processes substrate sufficiently quickly. Efficiency concerns the fraction of the free energy released by the overall reaction that is conserved in ATP. Comparing efficiency therefore requires considering both ATP formation and the energy available from the particular reaction under the conditions being examined.

For medical students in Uzbekistan, the intestinal tract offers a setting in which to apply these distinctions. Oxygen availability varies between the intestinal lumen and the region near the mucosal surface. In the largely oxygen-depleted lumen, microorganisms can conserve energy through fermentation or, where suitable electron acceptors are available, anaerobic respiration. A classroom exercise can ask students to trace electrons from the same donor in two simplified respiratory pathways and explain how the acceptor and the steps that generate an ion gradient affect ATP yield. Students can then relate these mechanisms to the metabolic options available to microorganisms in different parts of the intestine.

Educator Insights: A Journal of Teaching Theory and Practice
Volume 2, Issue 11, November 2026
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6964
Licensed under CC BY 4.0 *a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.*

Conclusion

Microorganisms conserve energy during respiration by transferring electrons from a donor to a terminal acceptor and using part of the released free energy to generate an ion gradient. ATP synthase uses this gradient to produce ATP. With the same electron donor under comparable conditions, oxygen generally provides a greater thermodynamic driving force than nitrate, sulfate, or fumarate. The ATP yield also depends on where electrons enter the respiratory chain, which steps contribute to the gradient, and how the cell uses that gradient.

ATP yield, ATP production rate, and energy conservation efficiency describe distinct features of microbial metabolism. Yield indicates how much ATP is formed per unit of substrate, while production rate indicates how much is formed per unit of time. Efficiency describes the fraction of available free energy captured in ATP. Anaerobic respiration can support ATP synthesis through a respiratory chain and an ion gradient. In typical fermentative pathways, ATP is formed chiefly through substrate-level phosphorylation.

For medical students in Uzbekistan, tracing electrons from donor to acceptor provides a way to compare these pathways and explain their relevance to the intestinal tract. In regions with little oxygen, the availability of alternative electron acceptors helps determine which respiratory pathways microorganisms can use. Identifying how ATP is formed in each pathway connects conditions in the microbial environment with the mechanisms of cellular energy conservation.

References

1. Madigan, M. T., Bender, K. S., Buckley, D. H., Sattley, W. M., & Stahl, D. A. (2021). Brock biology of microorganisms (16th ed.). Pearson.
2. Mitchell, P. (1961). Coupling of phosphorylation to electron and hydrogen transfer by a chemi-osmotic type of mechanism. Nature, 191, 144–148.
3. Nicholls, D. G., & Ferguson, S. J. (2013). Bioenergetics 4. Academic Press.
4. Unden, G., & Bongaerts, J. (1997). Alternative respiratory pathways of Escherichia coli: Energetics and transcriptional regulation in response to electron acceptors. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) – Bioenergetics, 1320(3), 217–234.
5. Thauer, R. K., Jungermann, K., & Decker, K. (1977). Energy conservation in chemotrophic anaerobic bacteria. Bacteriological Reviews, 41(1), 100–180.

Educator Insights: A Journal of Teaching Theory and Practice
Volume 2, Issue 11, November 2026
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6964
Licensed under CC BY 4.0 *a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.*

6. Richardson, D. J. (2000). Bacterial respiration: A flexible process for a changing environment. Microbiology, 146(3), 551–571.
7. Müller, V. (2003). Energy conservation in fermentative bacteria. Research in Microbiology, 154(6), 387–395.
8. Russell, J. B., & Cook, G. M. (1995). Energetics of bacterial growth: Balance of anabolic and catabolic reactions. Microbiological Reviews, 59(1), 48–62.
9. Neijssel, O. M., & Tempest, D. W. (1976). Bioenergetic aspects of aerobic growth of Klebsiella aerogenes NCTC 418 in carbon-limited and carbon-sufficient chemostat culture. Archives of Microbiology, 107, 215–221.
10. Donaldson, G. P., Lee, S. M., & Mazmanian, S. K. (2016). Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 14(1), 20–32.