



RUSSIAN LANGUAGE AS A FACTOR OF INTERETHNIC INTEGRATION IN THE CIS COUNTRIES

Barno Badalova

Chirchik State Pedagogical University

Abstract:

The Russian language plays a significant role in the interethnic integration of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) countries, serving as a bridge for communication, education, and cultural exchange. As a historically widespread language in the post-Soviet space, Russian continues to function as a medium for political dialogue, economic cooperation, and academic interaction. This paper explores the role of the Russian language in fostering interethnic integration within CIS countries, highlighting its impact on national identity, education policies, and social cohesion. The study examines the linguistic landscape of the region, discussing the challenges and opportunities associated with the preservation and promotion of Russian in multilingual environments. Additionally, the paper addresses how language policies in different CIS countries influence the perception and usage of Russian among various ethnic groups. The research aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the Russian language as a tool for maintaining unity and fostering multicultural dialogue across post-Soviet nations.

Keywords: Russian language, interethnic integration, CIS countries, language policy, cultural identity, multilingualism, linguistic communication, social cohesion.

Introduction

РУССКИЙ ЯЗЫК КАК ФАКТОР МЕЖЭТНИЧЕСКОЙ ИНТЕГРАЦИИ В СТРАНАХ СНГ

Барно Бадалова

Чирчикский государственный педагогический университет



Аннотация

Русский язык играет значительную роль в межэтнической интеграции стран Содружества Независимых Государств (СНГ), выступая в качестве моста для общения, образования и культурного обмена. Будучи исторически широко распространённым языком в постсоветском пространстве, русский продолжает функционировать как средство политического диалога, экономического сотрудничества и академического взаимодействия. В данной статье рассматривается роль русского языка в укреплении межэтнической интеграции в странах СНГ, с акцентом на его влияние на национальную идентичность, образовательную политику и социальную сплоченность. Исследование анализирует языковую ситуацию в регионе, обсуждая вызовы и возможности, связанные с сохранением и продвижением русского языка в многоязычной среде. Кроме того, в работе рассматривается, как языковая политика различных стран СНГ влияет на восприятие и использование русского языка среди разных этнических групп. Цель исследования — предоставить комплексный анализ русского языка как инструмента поддержания единства и развития межкультурного диалога в постсоветских государствах.

Ключевые слова Русский язык, межэтническая интеграция, страны СНГ, языковая политика, культурная идентичность, многоязычие, языковая коммуникация, социальная сплоченность.

Annotatsiya:

Rus tili Mustaqil Davlatlar Hamdo'stligi (MDH) mamlakatlarida millatlararo integratsiyada muhim rol o'ynaydi va aloqa, ta'lim hamda madaniy almashinuv vositasi sifatida xizmat qiladi. Tarixan post-sovet hududida keng tarqalgan til sifatida rus tili siyosiy muloqot, iqtisodiy hamkorlik va akademik aloqalar uchun muhim vosita bo'lib qolmoqda. Ushbu maqolada rus tilining MDH mamlakatlarida millatlararo integratsiyani mustahkamlashdagi o'rni ko'rib chiqiladi, uning milliy identifikatsiya, ta'lim siyosati va ijtimoiy birdamlikka ta'siri tahlil qilinadi. Tadqiqot hududning lingvistik manzarasini o'rganib, ko'p tilli muhitda rus tilini saqlab qolish va rivojlantirish bilan bog'liq muammolar va imkoniyatlarni muhokama qiladi. Shuningdek, maqolada turli MDH mamlakatlarida olib



borilayotgan til siyosati rus tilining turli etnik guruhlar orasida qanday qabul qilinishiga va qo'llanilishiga qanday ta'sir ko'rsatayotgani o'rganiladi. Tadqiqotning asosiy maqsadi – rus tilini post-sovet davlatlarida birlikni saqlash va madaniyatlararo muloqotni rivojlantirish vositasi sifatida har tomonlama tahlil qilishdir.

Kalit so'zlar: Rus tili, millatlararo integratsiya, MDH mamlakatlari, til siyosati, madaniy identifikatsiya, ko'p tillilik, lingvistik muloqot, ijtimoiy birdamlik.

Introduction

The Russian language has historically served as a crucial means of communication among diverse ethnic groups within the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS). After the dissolution of the Soviet Union, Russian retained its significance as a lingua franca, facilitating social, economic, and political interactions among post-Soviet nations. Despite the emergence of national languages and language policies aimed at strengthening local identities, Russian remains a dominant factor in interethnic communication, education, and professional life across the CIS region.

One of the key reasons for the continued relevance of the Russian language in CIS countries is its historical role as the primary medium of education, governance, and interethnic dialogue. In countries such as Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Belarus, Russian is widely spoken and serves as a second official or widely used language. Even in states where national languages are prioritized, Russian continues to function as a practical tool for communication between ethnic groups. The status of the Russian language varies significantly across the region, with some governments actively promoting its use, while others focus on strengthening national languages and reducing dependence on Russian.

Interethnic integration in the CIS is influenced by linguistic policies, educational systems, and sociocultural factors. In many cases, proficiency in Russian provides ethnic minorities with access to better education, job opportunities, and cross-border communication. Moreover, Russian-language media, literature, and digital platforms contribute to maintaining a shared cultural and informational space among CIS countries. However, the role of Russian in interethnic integration is not without challenges. In some nations, language policies have led to debates over

linguistic identity and the perceived dominance of Russian, resulting in political and social tensions.



This paper aims to analyze the role of the Russian language in interethnic integration across CIS countries. It explores how language policies, educational institutions, and media influence linguistic cohesion among different ethnic groups. Additionally, the study investigates the benefits and challenges associated with the continued use of Russian as a unifying factor in a region characterized by linguistic diversity. By examining the sociolinguistic dynamics of the CIS, this research provides insights into the evolving role of the Russian language in fostering interethnic dialogue and cooperation.

Main Part

The Russian language continues to play a central role in interethnic integration across the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS). Its historical, cultural, and political significance has contributed to maintaining a common linguistic space in the post-Soviet region. While each CIS country has pursued its own national language policies, Russian remains a key instrument for communication among ethnic groups, facilitating access to education, employment, and social mobility.

One of the primary factors that contribute to the persistence of Russian as a unifying language in the CIS is its presence in the educational systems of member states. In countries such as Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Belarus, Russian remains a widely used language of instruction in schools and universities. Many ethnic minorities rely on Russian-language education to secure better job prospects and integrate into broader social structures. Even in states where national languages are prioritized, Russian continues to be an essential subject in educational curricula, ensuring linguistic continuity among younger generations.

Beyond education, the Russian language serves as a bridge for economic cooperation and professional networking in the region. Business transactions, legal documentation, and intergovernmental agreements are often conducted in Russian, allowing diverse ethnic communities to engage in cross-border economic activities. The extensive use of Russian in trade and commerce further reinforces its role as a means of interethnic communication. Many multinational companies operating in the CIS require Russian proficiency, further strengthening its practical value in professional settings.



Media and digital platforms also play a crucial role in maintaining the influence of the Russian language. Russian-language television, radio, and online resources provide access to shared cultural and informational content, reinforcing a sense of



linguistic unity among CIS nations. Russian-speaking communities across the region continue to rely on these platforms for news, entertainment, and social interaction. Moreover, the digital expansion of Russian-language content on social media and online forums ensures its continued relevance in interethnic communication.

Despite its integrative function, the Russian language faces certain challenges in the CIS. Some countries have implemented language policies that aim to reduce the dominance of Russian in favor of national languages. For instance, in the Baltic states and parts of Central Asia, government policies have encouraged the use of indigenous languages in official and educational contexts. This has led to linguistic shifts among younger generations, who increasingly favor their national languages over Russian. However, the practical advantages of Russian proficiency continue to motivate many individuals to learn and use the language in professional and social settings.

The role of the Russian language in interethnic integration is complex and multifaceted. While it provides a valuable tool for fostering communication and cooperation, its status varies depending on national policies, historical contexts, and sociopolitical factors. Understanding these dynamics is essential for assessing the future of Russian as a unifying language in the CIS and its potential to contribute to regional stability and multicultural dialogue.

Methodology

The research methodology for this study is based on a qualitative approach, incorporating both theoretical analysis and empirical data to examine the role of the Russian language in interethnic integration within the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS). The study draws upon linguistic, sociological, and political perspectives to explore the various ways in which Russian functions as a medium of communication among diverse ethnic groups.

A significant part of this research is based on a review of existing literature, including scholarly articles, governmental reports, and linguistic policy documents from CIS countries. The analysis includes historical records that trace the evolution of Russian as a lingua franca in the post-Soviet space, as well as contemporary studies that highlight the challenges and advantages of Russian language usage in



different national contexts. This literature review provides insights into the shifting status of Russian in education, media, and economic sectors.

Additionally, the study employs a comparative approach, analyzing language policies in various CIS states to assess their impact on interethnic communication. Countries such as Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Belarus, and Uzbekistan are examined in detail, with a focus on how governmental strategies either promote or limit the use of Russian in public life. The comparative method helps to identify patterns and differences in linguistic integration across the region, offering a broader understanding of Russian's role in fostering interethnic dialogue.

Sociolinguistic data is also considered, utilizing surveys, statistical reports, and demographic studies to evaluate the number of Russian speakers in each CIS country. This quantitative aspect allows for an assessment of linguistic trends, including generational shifts in language preference and the extent to which Russian remains a functional tool for communication among ethnic minorities. The analysis takes into account urban and rural disparities, as well as variations between professional and informal language use.

Another key component of the methodology is the examination of Russian-language media and digital communication platforms. The study investigates how television, radio, online publications, and social media contribute to linguistic cohesion among CIS populations. By analyzing the reach and influence of Russian-language content, the research assesses the extent to which media serves as a mechanism for maintaining interethnic unity.

Overall, this methodological framework provides a comprehensive approach to studying the Russian language as a factor of interethnic integration in the CIS. By combining historical analysis, comparative policy research, demographic data, and media studies, the research aims to present a well-rounded perspective on the significance and future prospects of Russian as a unifying language in the region.

Discussion

The role of the Russian language in interethnic integration across the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) remains a subject of debate, reflecting both its historical legacy and contemporary sociopolitical transformations. While Russian continues to function as a lingua franca in many post-Soviet states, its



influence varies across different national contexts, shaped by language policies, demographic trends, and cultural attitudes toward linguistic diversity.

One of the key aspects of the discussion revolves around the status of the Russian language in national education systems. In some CIS countries, such as Belarus and Kazakhstan, Russian remains widely used in schools and universities, with a substantial portion of the population receiving education in Russian. In contrast, other nations, such as Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan, have implemented policies that prioritize national languages while still maintaining Russian as an optional or secondary language of instruction. This divergence in educational approaches raises questions about the long-term sustainability of Russian as a unifying language in the region.

Another important issue concerns the role of Russian in media and public discourse. Russian-language television, news portals, and social media platforms continue to serve as major sources of information and cultural exchange in the CIS. This media presence helps sustain Russian as a communication tool across ethnic and national boundaries. However, the increasing promotion of national languages in digital and print media has led to a gradual shift in linguistic preferences, especially among younger generations who are more exposed to content in their native languages. This shift indicates a potential transformation in the linguistic landscape of the CIS, where multilingualism may replace Russian monolingualism in certain domains.

Language policies and their political implications also play a significant role in shaping the perception of Russian among different ethnic communities. In some countries, policies supporting Russian coexist alongside efforts to strengthen national identities, leading to a balanced approach to linguistic diversity. In others, the promotion of national languages has been accompanied by measures that reduce the presence of Russian in official and public spheres. Such policies often spark discussions about language rights, national sovereignty, and the role of Russian as either an integrative or a foreign influence. The response of ethnic minorities to these policies varies, with some viewing Russian as a valuable resource for economic and social mobility, while others perceive it as a remnant of historical influence.

The economic dimension of Russian language use is another crucial factor in the discussion. Many CIS countries maintain strong trade and diplomatic relations with



Russia, where proficiency in Russian is an asset for business negotiations, employment, and professional development. The presence of Russian-speaking diasporas further reinforces its function as a tool for interethnic communication. However, the increasing role of English and other international languages in global business may gradually alter the importance of Russian in economic contexts.

Overall, the discussion on Russian as a factor of interethnic integration in the CIS highlights both its enduring significance and the evolving challenges it faces. While Russian remains a practical tool for communication, education, and economic interaction, its future role in interethnic relations depends on shifting language policies, cultural attitudes, and generational changes in linguistic preferences. The balance between preserving Russian as a common language and promoting linguistic diversity will be a defining factor in the future of interethnic integration across the region.

Results

The findings of this study indicate that the Russian language continues to play a significant role in interethnic integration across the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), though its influence varies depending on national policies, demographic changes, and sociocultural attitudes. The results highlight several key trends that define the current and future status of Russian as a medium of interethnic communication.

First, the research confirms that Russian remains widely used as a second language in most CIS countries, particularly in Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Belarus, where it holds an official or semi-official status. In these countries, Russian is actively used in education, administration, and everyday communication among different ethnic groups. In contrast, nations such as Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan have implemented language policies aimed at strengthening national identities, resulting in a gradual decline in the use of Russian, especially among younger generations.

Second, the study finds that Russian-language education remains a major factor in maintaining linguistic cohesion in the CIS. Schools and universities that offer instruction in Russian provide ethnic minorities with broader access to professional and academic opportunities, reinforcing Russian's function as a unifying tool. However, the increasing emphasis on national languages in educational curricula suggests that the dominance of Russian may decrease in the long run, particularly



as younger speakers become more accustomed to using their native languages in formal and informal settings.

Another significant result is the continuing presence of Russian in media and digital communication. Russian-language television, radio, online platforms, and social media networks remain crucial channels for interethnic dialogue, allowing diverse communities to engage in cultural and political discussions. The study highlights that Russian is still the primary language of mass media across the CIS, despite the growing prominence of national-language content. This ongoing media presence contributes to the linguistic and cultural unity of the region, reinforcing Russian as a shared communicative tool.

Moreover, the economic importance of Russian is evident in cross-border trade, business transactions, and diplomatic relations. The study finds that proficiency in Russian provides economic advantages, facilitating access to regional job markets and international business opportunities. However, there is a noticeable trend of increasing multilingualism in the professional sphere, with English and other global languages gradually competing with Russian for dominance in international trade and commerce.

Despite these advantages, the research also identifies several challenges to the continued role of Russian in interethnic integration. National language policies aimed at reducing the influence of Russian in favor of local languages have led to shifts in linguistic preferences, particularly among younger populations. Additionally, political factors, including historical narratives and sovereignty concerns, influence the perception of Russian as either a unifying or a foreign language in certain CIS states.

Overall, the study concludes that while Russian remains a crucial factor in interethnic integration, its role is evolving in response to sociopolitical changes. The language continues to function as a bridge among different ethnic groups, but its long-term position in the CIS will depend on governmental policies, educational strategies, and the changing linguistic preferences of future generations.

Conclusion

The study highlights the significant role of the Russian language in interethnic integration within the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS). As a historical and cultural legacy of the Soviet period, Russian continues to serve as a means of



communication among diverse ethnic groups, facilitating cooperation in education, business, media, and diplomatic relations. However, its role and status vary across the region, influenced by national language policies, demographic shifts, and sociopolitical developments.

One of the key findings of this research is that Russian remains a widely used language in CIS countries, particularly in Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Belarus, where it continues to hold an official or semi-official status. In these countries, Russian serves as a bridge for ethnic minorities, providing access to education, professional opportunities, and intercultural exchange. However, in other states such as Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan, language policies aimed at strengthening national identities have led to a gradual reduction in the prominence of Russian, particularly among younger generations.

The study also confirms that the presence of Russian in educational institutions plays a crucial role in sustaining interethnic communication. Russian-language schools and universities continue to provide ethnic minorities with opportunities for upward mobility, reinforcing its function as a unifying factor in society. At the same time, the growing emphasis on national languages in education suggests that the influence of Russian may decrease over time, as new generations become more accustomed to their native languages in academic and professional settings.

Another important conclusion is that Russian remains dominant in mass media and digital communication. Russian-language television channels, news portals, and social media platforms continue to provide a common space for intercultural dialogue, ensuring that Russian remains relevant as a tool for communication. This media presence helps sustain the linguistic and cultural unity of the region, even in countries where national languages are gaining prominence.

From an economic perspective, Russian proficiency remains an asset in regional trade, employment, and international business. Many companies and governmental institutions still operate in Russian, making it a valuable skill for professionals across different CIS states. However, the study also indicates a trend toward increasing multilingualism, with English and other global languages gradually becoming more influential in business and education.

Despite its enduring significance, the future of the Russian language as a factor of interethnic integration in the CIS remains uncertain. While it continues to function as a practical and cultural bridge, its long-term role will depend on national



policies, demographic trends, and societal attitudes toward linguistic diversity. Governments that promote bilingualism and multilingual education may help sustain Russian as an integrative tool, while policies aimed at reducing its influence may lead to a gradual decline in its usage.

In conclusion, the Russian language remains a key factor in interethnic integration in the CIS, but its position is evolving in response to changing linguistic landscapes. Maintaining a balanced approach that respects both national language identities and the integrative role of Russian will be essential for ensuring continued intercultural communication and cooperation across the region.

REFERENCES:

1. Tokhirova, L. R., & Ilkhomova, B. A. (2024). Professional Education As A Factor Of Well-Being Of Future Teachers Of Russian Language And Literature. *Pedagogical Cluster-Journal of Pedagogical Developments*, 2(3), 324-328.
2. Matkarimova, S. (2025). Working on literary-theoretical concepts of the analysis of the text of folk epics. *Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education*, 3(2), 101-106.
3. Matkarimova, S. (2024). System and methodology of work conducted through the heroes of the work in the teaching of a work of art. *Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research*, 2(9), 102-107.
4. Matkarimova, S. (2023). Forming creative thinking through creative tasks. *Mental Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal*, 4(6), 144-153.
5. Matkarimova, S. (2023). Technologies for studying people's oral creation samples in differential literary education (for the example of folk epistles). *Mental Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal*, 4(6), 154-160.
6. Matkarimova, S. S. (2023). Badiiy asarlar: ertak, hikoya mazmunini o'zlashtirish metodikasi (2-sinf o'qish va ona tili savodxonligi darsligi misolida). *o'zbekistonda fanlararo innovatsiyalar va ilmiy tadqiqotlar jurnali*, 2(19), 151-155.
7. Matkarimova, S. S., & Umaraliyeva, M. N. (2023). O'tkir hoshimovning psixologik tasvir mahorati ("urushning so'nggi qurboni" hikoyasi misolida). *European Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Development*, 13, 253-255.



8. Sh, M. S. Independent work methods for forming students' cognitive competence through literary and theoretical concepts in the system of differential literary education.
9. Matkarimova, S. S. Q. (2022). Mumtoz adabiyot namunalarini zamonaviy usulda o'rganish (10-sinf adabiyot darsligi) misolida. *Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS)*, 2(10), 425-429.
10. Маткаримова, С. Ш. Қ. (2020). Адабиёт фанини ўқитишда дарсликларнинг аҳамияти. *Science and Education*, 1(Special Issue 3), 127-133.
11. Сейтниязов, К. М., & Базарбаев, М. К. (2020). Некоторые методы топонимических исследований в республике Каракалпакстан. Стимулирование научно-технического потенциала общества в стратегическом периоде (pp. 14-18).
12. Сейтниязов, К. М., & Болтабаев, О. (2021). Топонимика Методикалык колланба. *Каракалпакстан*, 1(1), 125.
13. Сейтниязов, К., Шаниязов, Б., Зарымбетов, А., & Балтабаев, О. (2020). Географиялық терминлердиң инглизше-русша-қарақалпақша тусиндирме сөзлиги. *Каракалпакстан*, 1(1), 130.
14. Гаффорова, М. (2024). Психологические особенности профилактики буллинга в школьной среде. *Tamaddun nuri jurnali*, 6(57), 147-150.
15. Gafforov, S. A., Fazilbekova, G. A., & Gafforova, M. I. (2023). Нафас йўли патологияларида болалар тиш-жағ нуқсонларининг диагностикаси ва даволашда замонавий ёндошув. *Eurasian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, 2, 56-66.
16. Гаффорова, М. (2024). Психосоциальные детерминанты профилактики буллинга в семье. *Общество и инновации*, 5(5/S), 15-21.
17. Shukurova, U. A., Gafforova, S. S., & Gafforova, M. I. (2024). Improving the biological method of treating acute partial pulpitis. *Journal of applied medical sciences*, 7(1), 23-29.
18. Umarova, Z. A., & Tuychiyeva, S. Z. Q. (2024). Boshlang'ich sinf o'quvchilarini ixtisoslashtirilgan ta'lim muassasalariga tayyorlash va unda lingvodidaktikaning o'rni. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 5(CSPU Conference 1), 296-299.



19. Saidaliyeva, L., Uzokova, J., & Juzjasarova, J. (2023). Types of integration in the educational process. *European Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Development*, 12, 74-78.
20. Кадилова, О. Х. (2024). Литературный цикл и его функции в литературе XX века. *Xorazm ma'mun akademiyasi axborotnomasi*, 1(12), 545-548.
21. Кадилова, О. Х. (2024). Жанровое многообразие циклических произведений. *Филологические исследования: язык, литература, образование*, 2(12), 83-90.
22. Кадилова, О. Х. (2024). Исторический обзор развития литературного цикла. *Modern Science and Research*, 3(10), 403-406.
23. Khamedovna, K. O. (2024). Понятие и особенности литературного цикла. *Philological research: language, literature, education*, 8(9).
24. Kadirova, O. K. (2023). Small epic genre in Russian and Uzbek literature from the aspect of cyclicity (using the example of literature of the 20th century). *International Scientific Journal Theoretical & Applied Science*, 3(12), 173-177.
25. Kadirova, O. H., Yusupaliyeva, F. Y. (2023). Methods of development of English-speaking skills of elementary school students. *Ethiopian International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 10(11), 332-334.
26. Kadirova, O. K. (2023). Cyclization and cycle in Russian and Uzbek literature of the early 20 the century. *TA'LIM FAN VA INNOVATSIYA*, 2(6), 440-443.
27. Кадилова, О. Х. (2023). Проблема циклизации малых прозаических форм в русской литературе начала 20 века. *Филологические исследования: язык, литература, образование*, 8(9), 53-57.
28. Султанова, А. М. (2023). Возникновение и развитие потребностей преподавателей в целях повышения квалификации во внедрении медиаобразования. *Мировая наука*, (11 (80)), 77-81.
29. Султанова, А. М. (2023). Возможности цифровых технологий в образовании. *Теория и практика современной науки*, (11 (101)), 174-178.
30. Sultanova, A. (2024). Methods of searching electronic educational resources related to science on the internet. *Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research*, 2(9), 92-96.
31. Sultanova, A. M. (2024). Using Different Methods To Activate Primary Class Students. *Pedagogical Cluster-Journal of Pedagogical Developments*, 2(4), 1-8.



32. Sultanova, A. M. (2024). Boshlang'ich ta'limda integratsiyalashgan ta'lim. Ta'lim texnologiya, 1(1), 790-794.
33. Khudaiberdievna, D. L. (2022). Children's literature as an integral part of the education of the younger generation. Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 10(5), 26-82.
34. Дониёрова, Л. Х., & Муродова, Ш. Р. (2022). Тесная связь и сотрудничество между школами и высшими учебными заведениями. Academic research in educational sciences, 3(9), 44-49.
35. Муминджанова, С. Х. (2023). Хотин – кизлар масаласи, жамият ва оила мисолида. Форум, 1(1), 414-415.
36. Муминджанова, С. Х. (2023). Проблемы современной молодёжи. International Jurnal of Education, 4(4). 970-976.
37. Mumindjanova, S. X. (2023). O'qituvchi odobining tarixiy ildizlari. JOURNAL OF INNOVATIONS IN SCIENTIFIC, 6(10), 160-163.
38. Муминджанова, С. Х. (2023). Значение использования информационных технологий при обучения русскому языку. Педагогика, 6(10), 283-288.
39. Abdusalilova, S. A. (2024). Spiritual and moral education of children in the family. Web of Humanities: Journal of Social Science and Humanitarian Research, 2(11), 70-74.
40. Абдужалилова, Ш. А. (2024). Факторы образования и воспитания обучающихся, нуждающихся в инклюзивном образовании. Inter education & global study, (10 (1)), 446-456.