EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION: KEY TECHNOLOGIES, METHODS, AND DEVELOPMENT DIRECTIONS

Ubaydullayeva Sh. R.
C.t.s., Associate Professor, "TIIAME"
National Research University
ushr777@gmail.com

Ismailov M. M.
Researcher of the grant "MESA R01 Imaging Renewal-Biomedical Scope" of Columbia University, New York, USA
ushr4128@gmail.com

Abstract
Higher education today is undergoing profound changes associated with the active implementation of digital technologies. Universities are adapting their educational processes to new conditions, striving to make learning more flexible, personalized, and practice-oriented. The article examines the key directions of digital transformation, including the use of Artificial Intelligence, Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR/AR) technologies, online learning, EdTech platforms, multimodal approaches, and intelligent learning resources. The analysis shows that digital tools are changing not only the forms of teaching but the entire educational model, shifting the focus to active student participation, flexible trajectories, and adaptive methodologies. At the same time, universities face a number of challenges: the need for digital literacy development, data protection, ethical issues, and risks of the digital divide. The article presents conclusions and recommendations that may be useful to researchers, educators, and educational program developers.

Keywords: Digital transformation; higher education; artificial intelligence; VR/AR; EdTech; online learning; multimodal pedagogy; smart textbooks; adaptive learning.

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

Introduction

Digital transformation has become one of the key characteristics of modern higher education. Over the past few years, universities have faced not just the need to update their material and technical base or implement individual digital tools—systemic changes are occurring that affect educational content, pedagogical approaches, forms of interaction, and the organization of the learning process. These changes are driven by several interconnected factors: the accelerated development of information technologies, the growing accessibility of digital resources, the demands of the labour market, and the desire to form the competencies students need in a constantly changing socio-technological environmen [1].

One of the most noticeable processes has been the active introduction of artificial intelligence technologies. Adaptive learning systems, intelligent assistants, automated assessment tools, and analytical platforms allow the learning process to be organized in a new way—flexibly, targeted, and focused on the individual needs of each student. At the same time, new opportunities emerge to enhance the effectiveness of pedagogical activity, as AI can take on some routine tasks, freeing up the lecturer's time for deeper methodological and research work.

In parallel, the use of virtual and augmented reality is rapidly developing. VR/AR solutions provide access to practical experience that was previously impossible to reproduce in a traditional classroom [2]. Future engineers, doctors, architects, and specialists in other fields gain the opportunity to work with models of real processes, train in a safe environment, and develop professional skills through immersive technologies. These approaches enhance clarity, increase engagement, and contribute to a more solid assimilation of the material.

Online learning and digital educational platforms have become another factor that has rebuilt the higher education system. Massive Open Online Courses, blended learning formats, interactive resources, and mobile applications have expanded the boundaries of the academic environment, making education accessible to a significantly wider audience [3]. Students have gained the opportunity to independently choose the pace, depth, and structure of their learning, while universities can build new models of interaction and create flexible educational trajectories.

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

Serious attention from researchers is drawn to multimodal pedagogy, which involves the use of various forms of information presentation—textual, visual, auditory, and interactive [4-5]. In combination with AI technologies, multimodal approaches allow for the creation of personalized and content-rich learning materials that consider students' perceptual features and cognitive strategies.

One of the most promising areas is intelligent learning resources—the so-called "smart textbooks". They integrate adaptive content, analytics systems, interactive elements, and self-learning tools. Such resources are considered an important component of the university's digital ecosystem and open up broad opportunities for updating teaching methodologies.

Despite the obvious advantages of digitalization, universities face a number of challenges. These include ensuring digital security and data protection, the need to improve the digital literacy of lecturers and students, the risks of deepening the digital divide, and methodological difficulties in integrating new tools into the learning process [6]. These issues require comprehensive analysis and the development of strategic solutions.

Thus, the digital transformation of higher education is not a disparate set of innovations, but a holistic system of changes affecting all levels of educational activity. The purpose of this article is to present an analytical overview of the key technologies, identify their potential and limitations, and outline the directions for the further development of digital solutions in the university environment.

2. Methodology

2.1. Aim, Objectives, and General Logic of the Study

This article presents a comprehensive analytical review, based on the results of a systemic study of the problems and prospects of digital transformation in higher education.

The main aim of the work is to conduct a systemic analysis of key innovative digital technologies (AI, VR/AR, EdTech), determine their methodological role in changing the educational paradigm and formulate strategic recommendations for their effective implementation in the university environment [7].

To achieve this aim, the following research objectives were formulated:

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

- To systematize the key approaches and technologies underpinning the digital transformation of higher education, including concepts of adaptive learning and multimodal pedagogy.
- To identify the methodological principles that ensure the successful integration of artificial intelligence and immersive technologies into the learning process, considering their impact on the role of the lecturer and the student.
- To conduct a comparative analysis of the advantages and limitations of various digital tools (online platforms, «smart textbooks», VR simulations) in terms of their potential for learning personalization.
- To outline the key challenges related to ethics, data security and overcoming the digital divide that arise in the context of total digitalization.
- To formulate practical and strategic recommendations for developers, educators, and university administrative staff on managing the digital transformation process.

The study's logic was built on the principle of from general to specific and back again. First, a macro-analysis of global digitalization trends was conducted (systemic approach), then the key technologies and their pedagogical potential were examined in detail (content analysis and induction), and the analysis concluded with the development of generalized conclusions and recommendations for educational practice [8-9].

2.2. Theoretical and Methodological Framework

The theoretical foundation of the work is based on concepts of digital age pedagogy, specifically:

- **Connectivism Theory** (G. Siemens, S. Downes): This theory views learning as the process of forming connections and networks of knowledge in the digital space. Digital technologies, particularly EdTech platforms and online courses, are tools that enable the dynamic formation of these networks and support the principle of lifelong learning.
- **System-Activity Approach:** The implementation of digital tools is viewed not as a replacement but as a means of intensifying learning activity. VR/AR technologies and simulations essentially translate theoretical knowledge into a practical, activity-based plane, allowing the student to actively interact with content and form professional competencies.

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

- **Concept of Personalized Learning:** The methodology relies on principles according to which AI systems should ensure the adaptation of content, pace, and teaching style to the individual cognitive features and preparation level of each student. This requires the use of Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) and detailed learning process analytics.

The methodological toolkit of the article is based on the integration of general scientific and special research methods.

2.3. Applied Methods of Analysis and Research

The following key methods were used during the preparation of the article and the analytical review:

System Analysis and Synthesis (System Analysis and Synthesis)

This method was applied to study digital transformation as a holistic educational ecosystem, where changes in one part (e.g., AI implementation) inevitably lead to changes in others (e.g., teaching methods and learning process organization). System analysis allowed for structuring the diversity of digital tools and highlighting their interconnections, while synthesis enabled the combination of disparate data on the potential of AI, VR/AR, and EdTech into a single conceptual development model [10-11].

Content Analysis and Comparative Analysis

Content analysis methods were used for a detailed study of a significant volume of literature (scientific monographs, articles in leading international journals, reports from UNESCO, OECD, and leading EdTech-companies). The main focus of content analysis was on identifying the most common and effective implementation practices of AI (automated assessment systems, intelligent chatbots) and VR/AR (immersive laboratories, remote practical training). Comparative analysis allowed for assessing the effectiveness of various technological solutions in the context of specific subject areas (e.g., comparing traditional laboratory practice with VR simulation in engineering disciplines) and determining the readiness for their integration across different types of universities (classical vs. technical).

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

Expert Assessment and Prognostic Modeling Method

The **expert assessment** method, based on a review of the positions of leading world specialists in educational informatics, was applied to evaluate the potential and risks of new technologies (especially in the field of ethical dilemmas of AI and data protection issues). Elements of prognostic modeling were used to form forecasts about the further directions of digital transformation, including the evolution of "smart textbooks" and multimodal pedagogy [12]. This method allowed not only for describing the current situation but also for proposing prospective scenarios for the development of educational technologies up to 2030, considering global trends such as the growth of open educational resources popularity and the deepening specialization of EdTech platforms.

2.4. Information and Empirical Base

The information base of the study included:

- **Monographs and Periodicals:** Works by leading foreign and domestic researchers in the field of educational technologies, digital didactics, artificial intelligence (AI), and VR/AR learning (including studies on adaptive tutoring systems (ITS) and gamification).
- **Analytical Reports and Documents:** Official publications of international organizations (UNESCO, World Bank), as well as reports from major consulting and technology companies (Gartner, McKinsey, Deloitte), dedicated to the impact of AI on education and the labour market.
- **Case Studies and Practical Examples:** Descriptions of successful and experimental practices of digital technology implementation in leading universities worldwide, which serve as an empirical illustration of the theoretical provisions of the article.

This comprehensive methodological approach ensured the scientific validity and practical significance of the presented analysis, allowing for both the description of ongoing changes and the proposal of effective tools and recommendations for managing digital transformation in the higher education system.

3. Key Findings and Discussion of the Potential of Digital Technologies

The analysis conducted allowed for the systematization of key trends and the identification of fundamental changes occurring in the higher education system

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

under the influence of innovative digital technologies [13]. The main finding of the research is that digital transformation is not just an instrumental update but a shift in the learning paradigm from a traditional, lecturer-oriented model to an adaptive, student-centred model.

3.1. Artificial Intelligence: Adaptivity and Personalization

Findings

The key achievement in the application of AI in education is the possibility of realizing true personalization of the learning process. Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) and Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) use machine learning algorithms to continuously collect and analyze data on a student's progress, style, and cognitive characteristics.

The results of the analysis indicate that AI allows for:

- **Forming individual educational trajectories:** The system automatically offers the student content, assignments, and resources corresponding to their current knowledge level and pace of material assimilation, bypassing topics already mastered.
- **Automating routine tasks:** AI effectively performs automated checking of typical assignments, provides instant feedback, and generates progress reports, enabling the lecturer to focus on mentorship, project work, and the development of complex content.
- **Predicting academic performance and dropout risks:** Early warning algorithms allow university administrations to identify students at risk and apply preventative support measures.

Discussion

The implementation of AI requires serious methodological discussion. Although AI increases efficiency, an ethical challenge arises regarding algorithmic transparency (the "black box"). Educators and students must understand the data and principles upon which decisions about correcting the learning path are based [14]. Furthermore, success depends on data quality: "garbage in, garbage out". Incomplete or biased data can lead to the reinforcement of the digital divide and discrimination. In this regard, the lecturer's role is fundamentally changing: they transform from a source of knowledge into an educational experience designer,

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

who manages AI tools and interprets data to develop students' *soft skills*, critical thinking, and creativity, which are difficult to automate.

3.2. VR/AR Technologies: Immersive Learning and Practical Training Findings

VR/AR technologies (Virtual and Augmented Reality) open access to immersive educational environments that are critically important for practice-oriented learning, especially in technical, medical, and engineering disciplines.

Key findings of VR/AR application:

- Safe practical skill practice. Medical students can conduct virtual surgeries, while engineers can maintain complex equipment or work in hazardous conditions without risk. This significantly reduces the cost of errors and increases confidence.
- **Visualization of abstract concepts:** VR allows for "diving into" molecular structure, a historical era, or the operation of a complex mechanism (e.g., a nuclear reactor), which is impossible in a traditional classroom. This contributes to a deeper and more solid assimilation of the material.
- **Increased engagement:** Immersive technologies often include elements of gamification, which is proven to increase motivation, concentration, and time dedicated to learning.

Discussion

The discussion on the potential of VR/AR centres around two aspects: cost and integration. The implementation of immersive laboratories requires significant initial investment and specialized equipment, which can exacerbate the digital divide between universities. Equally important is the methodological aspect [15]. For VR/AR not to remain merely an expensive toy, the development of effective multimodal pedagogy is necessary, which integrates virtual experience into the overall curriculum, ensuring a link between simulation and real practice.

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

3.3. EdTech Platforms, "Smart Textbooks," and Multimodal Pedagogy Findings

The development of EdTech platforms (e.g., MOOCs, LMS) has led to the formation of flexible educational trajectories and blended learning formats. "Smart Textbooks" are the culmination of this tendency. They represent digital educational resources that integrate:

- Adaptive content that changes based on student progress.
- Built-in analytics systems.
- Interactive elements (tests, simulations, videos).
- Tools for social learning (forums, peer-review).

The application of multimodal pedagogy (using various channels of perception—audio, video, text, interactive) in combination with these tools yields a synergistic effect, improving retention and understanding of the material for students with different learning styles.

Discussion

Despite the growth in accessibility, content quality and standardization remain a key challenge. Excessive reliance on "smart textbooks" and online courses can diminish the role of discussion, critical analysis, and face-to-face interpersonal interaction, which are fundamental elements of classical higher education [16-17]. Clear methodological guidelines for integrating digital resources must be developed so that they complement, rather than replace, the pedagogical process, maintaining high academic standards and stimulating the development of higher-order skills.

3.4. Challenges of the Digital Age: Ethics, Security, and Inequality

The analysis showed that digital transformation generates three key systemic challenges:

1. **Ethical Dilemmas and Data Protection:** The massive collection of student data (Big Data) for the needs of adaptive learning creates risks of privacy violation. Universities are obliged to develop strict policies ensuring anonymity, transparency of data use, and compliance with European standards such as GDPR.

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

2. **Digital Literacy and Sustainability:** The need to improve digital literacy applies not only to students but also to lecturers. Educators must not only be able to use the tools but also understand their pedagogical potential and limitations. The sustainability of transformation depends on the continuous methodological training of the faculty.

3. **Digital Divide:** The implementation of technology can deepen the gap between students with varying levels of access to high-speed internet, modern devices, and the necessary digital support. University strategies must include measures to eliminate this inequality, ensuring equal access to high-quality digital content and infrastructure.

Conclusion

The digital transformation of higher education, caused by the rapid development of Artificial Intelligence (AI), Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR/AR), and EdTech platforms, represents a profound methodological and practical shift, rather than a simple tool update. This study confirms that these technologies hold the potential to form a fundamentally new, student-centred educational model [18].

The main conclusions of the work are as follows:

- **Personalization through AI:** Artificial intelligence is the cornerstone of adaptive learning. It allows for the widespread and effective realization of individual educational trajectories for the first time in educational history, automating assessment and providing educators with a powerful tool for prognostic analytics.
- **Immersiveness and Activity:** VR/AR solutions eliminate the gap between theory and practice, offering safe, realistic, and immersive environments for developing professional skills. These approaches, reinforced by multimodal pedagogy and "smart textbooks," significantly increase engagement and the quality of complex material assimilation.
- **The New Role of the Lecturer:** The digitalization process does not diminish but transforms the lecturer's role; they become an educational experience architect, moderator, and analyst responsible for developing students' critical thinking and creativity—skills impervious to automation.

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

Despite the obvious advantages, successful transformation depends on solving systemic challenges:

- **Ethics and Security:** The need for the strictest adherence to data protection principles and ensuring the transparency of AI algorithms to maintain academic autonomy.
- **Digital Literacy:** Continuous strategic investment in developing the digital competencies of the entire academic community is required.
- **Overcoming Inequality:** Universities must actively implement measures aimed at preventing the deepening of the digital divide between different groups of learners.

Strategic Recommendations:

For sustainable development in the digital environment, universities need to transition from situational technology implementation to holistic strategic planning. Decisions about digitalization must be based on pedagogical expediency, not just technological novelty [19-20]. The future of higher education is determined not by the digital tools themselves, but by how wisely and responsibly they will be integrated into a human-centred learning process that preserves the fundamental values of academic freedom and critical thinking.

Thus, further research should focus on developing standardized methodologies for evaluating the effectiveness of VR/AR and AI, as well as on formulating ethical codes for the use of big educational data.

References

1. S. Ubaydullayeva, G. Tadjiyeva, D. Ubaydullayeva, N. Kadirova, Z. Gulyamova and D. Subanova, "The Specifics of the Organization of Independent Work of Students in the System of Secondary Vocational Education In Uzbekistan in the Context of the Transition to a Digital Economy," 2023 3rd International Conference on Technology Enhanced Learning in Higher Education (TELE), Lipetsk, Russian Federation, 2023, pp. 287-290, doi: 10.1109/TELE58910.2023.10184330.
2. S. Ubaydullayeva, Z. Gulyamova, G. Tadjiyeva, N. Kadirova and U. Kusanova, "The Use of Virtual Interactive Stands in the Educational Process in Higher Education," 2024 4th International Conference on Technology Enhanced

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

Learning in Higher Education (TELE), Lipetsk, Russian Federation, 2024, pp. 39-44, doi: 10.1109/TELE62556.2024.10605705.

3. K. Tulenova, S. Ubaydullayeva, R. Gaziyeva, U. Mamayusupov, M. Mamadjonova and E. Turdikulova, "The Introduction of Information Technologies Into Educational and Laboratory Complexes is an Important Step Towards the Digitalization of Uzbekistan," 2024 4th International Conference on Technology Enhanced Learning in Higher Education (TELE), Lipetsk, Russian Federation, 2024, pp. 48-53, doi: 10.1109/TELE62556.2024.10605665.
4. J. MacLeod and H. H. Yang, "Life-Cycle Efficacy for Educational Technology: Best-Practices for Leading Schools," 2016 International Conference on Educational Innovation through Technology (EITT), Tainan, Taiwan, 2016, pp. 139-142, doi: 10.1109/EITT.2016.34.
5. M. Qian, B. Zhao and Y. Gao, "Exploring the Training Path of Design Thinking of Students in Educational Technology," 2019 IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Educational Informatization (CSEI), Kunming, China, 2019, pp. 315-319, doi: 10.1109/CSEI47661.2019.8938895.
6. C. Buiu, "Hybrid Educational Strategy for a Laboratory Course on Cognitive Robotics," in IEEE Transactions on Education, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 100-107, Feb. 2008, doi: 10.1109/TE.2007.906605.
7. G. Y. Maruyama, A. A. De Castro Junior, A. C. De Lima and M. P. Vilhanueva, "Asimov: A Remote Laboratory for Experimentation in Educational Robotics," 2024 Brazilian Symposium on Robotics (SBR) and 2024 Workshop on Robotics in Education (WRE), Goiania, Brazil, 2024, pp. 313-318, doi: 10.1109/SBR/WRE63066.2024.10838153.
8. C. S. Tzafestas, N. Palaiologou and M. Alifragis, "Virtual and remote robotic laboratory: comparative experimental evaluation," in IEEE Transactions on Education, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 360-369, Aug. 2006, doi: 10.1109/TE.2006.879255.
9. V. Djalic, P. Maric, D. Kosic, D. Samuelsen, B. Thyberg and O. Graven, "Remote laboratory for robotics and automation as a tool for remote access to learning content," 2012 15th International Conference on Interactive

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

Collaborative Learning (ICL), Villach, Austria, 2012, pp. 1-3, doi: 10.1109/ICL.2012.6402174.

10. F. Martell-Chavez, J. M. López-Téllez, C. A. Paredes-Orta and R. Espinosa-Luna, "Virtual laboratories for teaching automation, robotics, and optomechatronics," 2023 IEEE World Engineering Education Conference (EDUNINE), Bogota, Colombia, 2023, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/EDUNINE57531.2023.10102906.
11. A. Kerem Erdoğmuş and U. Yayan, "Virtual Robotic Laboratory Compatible Mobile Robots for Education and Research," 2021 International Conference on INnovations in Intelligent SysTems and Applications (INISTA), Kocaeli, Turkey, 2021, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/INISTA52262.2021.9548503.
12. C. Gonzalez-Espinoza, A. Venzor-Mendoza, O. Lasso-Lopez and C. Lozoya, "Educative impact of a remote laboratory to experience industrial robotics," 2023 IEEE International Conference on Teaching, Assessment and Learning for Engineering (TALE), Auckland, New Zealand, 2023, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/TALE56641.2023.10398333.
13. M. Qian, B. Zhao and Y. Gao, "Exploring the Training Path of Design Thinking of Students in Educational Technology," 2019 IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Educational Informatization (CSEI), Kunming, China, 2019, pp. 315-319, doi: 10.1109/CSEI47661.2019.8938895.
14. A. Anand, S. Mishra, A. Deep and K. Alse, "Generation of Educational Technology Research Problems Using Design Thinking Framework," 2015 IEEE Seventh International Conference on Technology for Education (T4E), Warangal, India, 2015, pp. 69-72, doi: 10.1109/T4E.2015.28.
15. L. Ma, "Construction of Project-Driven Practical Teaching System for Educational Technology Major Based on SSH Framework," 2021 International Symposium on Advances in Informatics, Electronics and Education (ISAIEE), Germany, 2021, pp. 149-153, doi: 10.1109/ISAIEE55071.2021.00044.
16. B. Hokanson, "Creativity and Educational Technology," 2017 International Conference of Educational Innovation through Technology (EITT), Osaka, Japan, 2017, pp. 229-233, doi: 10.1109/EITT.2017.62. keywords: {Creativity;Educational technology;Writing;Production;Cultural

EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements
Volume 01, Issue 12, December 2025
brightmindpublishing.com
ISSN (E): 3061-6972

differences;Psychology;creativity;divergent thinking;convergent thinking;educational technology;skills},

17. S. R. Ubaydullayeva, D. R. Kadirova and D. R. Ubaydullayeva, "Graph Modeling and Automated Control of Complex Irrigation Systems," 2020 International Russian Automation Conference (RusAutoCon), Sochi, Russia, 2020, pp. 464-469, doi: 10.1109/RusAutoCon49822.2020.9208076.
18. S. R. Ubaydulayeva and A. M. Nigmatov, "Development of a Graph Model and Algorithm to Analyze the Dynamics of a Linear System with Delay," 2020 International Conference on Industrial Engineering, Applications and Manufacturing (ICIEAM), Sochi, Russia, 2020, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICIEAM48468.2020.9111939.
19. S. R. Ubaydullayeva, S. Yunusova and Y. Barno, "Study of Dynamic Processes in Nonlinear Discrete Systems with Delay Based on Graph Models," 2022 International Conference on Industrial Engineering, Applications and Manufacturing (ICIEAM), Sochi, Russian Federation, 2022, pp. 919-925, doi: 10.1109/ICIEAM54945.2022.9787277.
20. S. Ubaydullayeva, D. Ubaydullayeva, R. Gaziyeva, Z. Gulyamova, G. Tadjiyeva and N. Kadirova, "Model of Organizing Online Learning for Students in Agricultural Area," 2022 2nd International Conference on Technology Enhanced Learning in Higher Education (TELE), Lipetsk, Russian Federation, 2022, pp. 317-320, doi: 10.1109/TELE55498.2022.9800945.