



## **METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES IN DEVELOPING STUDENTS' ACADEMIC WRITING SKILLS**

Akhmedov Husen Uzairovich

Russian Language Teacher, Chirchik State Pedagogical University, Chirchik, Uzbekistan

E-mail: husenaxmedov024@gmail.com

### **Abstract**

Metacognitive strategies in developing students' academic writing skills play a key role in improving the quality of their work and their ability to learn independently. These strategies help students not only master the technical aspects of writing, but also develop a deeper understanding of the material, which in turn contributes to the formation of critical and analytical thinking.

**Keywords:** Thus, metacognitive strategies are an integral part of the process of developing students' academic writing skills, helping them not only learn to write high-quality texts, but also become more independent and confident in their mental abilities.

### **Introduction**

Metacognitive strategies are ways of becoming aware of, monitoring, and regulating one's own thought processes, aimed at improving learning. Essentially, it's "thinking about thinking." They help a person understand how they learn, what cognitive methods they use, and which strategies work to solve specific problems.

Metacognition (from the Greek meta - "about," "after," and cognitio - "knowledge") refers to the ability to monitor and manage one's cognitive processes (e.g., attention, memory, perception, problem solving).

Metacognitive strategies include both an awareness of one's strengths and weaknesses in learning and the ability to select the most effective methods for working with information. Components of metacognitive strategies: Awareness (metacognition): This is an understanding of how we perceive, process, and assimilate information. A student may recognize that they have difficulty remembering certain facts or that they have a poor understanding of the material, and, based on this, decide which approaches to use. Planning: This is the selection of strategies and methods of work,



defining goals, objectives, and time frames for completing academic work. For example, a student decides how to organize time for writing a paper or what literature to use to prepare for an exam. Monitoring: This is the process of observing one's own progress and evaluating how a task is progressing. For example, a student can track how effectively they are learning the material and adjust their work as difficulties arise. Evaluation and reflection: This is the process of analyzing and evaluating one's work upon completing a learning task. The student evaluates how successfully they have achieved their goals and decides what can be improved in the future. Examples of metacognitive strategies: Self-monitoring and self-regulation: The student checks whether they have understood the material correctly, asks themselves questions, pauses to consider the information, or improves their work. For example, when writing an essay, they can regularly check the logic and coherence of their arguments. Using plans and lists: Creating a plan, monitoring task completion, using checklists or diagrams to structure the learning or work process.

Restatement: Restate the material in your own words for better understanding. This can be useful for reinforcing information, including when preparing for exams. Use of various sources: Analyze different perspectives on a problem, use different information retrieval methods, and combine them. Feedback: Obtain and analyze external feedback to improve the work process. For example, work with a mentor or colleagues, discuss the problem to generate new ideas. Why are metacognitive strategies important? Metacognitive strategies help people: Learn effectively. They enable students to recognize which study methods are most effective for them and how to optimally approach problem solving. Develop critical thinking. Metacognition helps students develop the ability to self-reflect and analyze, which is important for drawing justified, logical, and reasoned conclusions. Become more independent. With the help of metacognitive strategies, a person can independently manage their learning, plan, and adapt their actions, which promotes independence in studies and life. Metacognitive strategies are a crucial component of successful learning and work, as they help students effectively manage their cognitive processes and achieve better results. Metacognitive strategies refer to the processes of awareness and regulation of their own thought processes. In the context of academic writing, this means students' ability to: recognize what they already know and what they need to learn, plan how they will write, what strategies to use, monitor and adjust their work during the writing process, reflect on their writing, and analyze it for improvement.



Metacognitive strategies can be divided into three main stages: Prescriptive planning – during this stage, the student understands the task, defines the writing goals, highlights key aspects of the work, and decides which resources and methods will be used for writing.

Monitoring – the student monitors their writing process, assesses whether they are moving in the right direction, and makes adjustments if necessary. Evaluation and reflection – upon completion of the work, the student analyzes the result, evaluates it based on predetermined criteria, and reflects on areas for improvement. Applying Metacognitive Strategies to Academic Writing: Developing an awareness of one's own strengths and weaknesses in writing. Students can use metacognitive strategies to assess their skills, which helps them identify weaknesses in their writing and identify ways to improve them. For example, they may recognize that they struggle to formulate arguments correctly and decide to focus more on this aspect of their work. Using Self-Monitoring and Self-Regulation Strategies: Students can regularly stop themselves while writing and check whether their ideas and arguments are consistent with the main topic. This helps them avoid straying from the topic and improve the logical structure of the text. Reflecting on Results: After writing, students can compare their work with the original purpose, analyze how well they expressed their thoughts, how logically and persuasively the structure is constructed, and look for ways to further improve.

Developing critical thinking. Metacognitive strategies promote the development of the ability to analyze and evaluate information, which is essential for writing high-quality academic texts. Students learn not only to present arguments but also to evaluate their significance and persuasiveness. Examples of metacognitive strategies in academic writing: Preparing a work plan. This will help students clearly define the structure of the text and the main points to be covered in the article. For example, at the planning stage, the main sections of the work can be outlined: introduction, objectives, tasks, research methods, and conclusions. Using checklists for self-assessment. Students can create a checklist of key aspects of academic writing, such as logical structure, correct use of sources, compliance with citation style requirements, and so on. Reading the work aloud. This helps better identify flaws in the text's logic, grammar, and style. Discussing the work with colleagues or the instructor.



External feedback helps students view their work from a different perspective and improve it. The Impact of Metacognitive Strategies on the Quality of Academic Writing Using metacognitive strategies significantly improves not only the quality of the text but also the student's learning ability. This helps them develop: Autonomy: the student learns to be more autonomous in the writing process, which is an important skill in the academic environment. Flexibility: the ability to adapt and change writing approaches depending on different tasks. Critical thinking: deeper reflection on the result and an understanding of what could be improved. Metacognitive strategies play a key role in improving the quality of academic writing. Their use contributes not only to the improvement of written texts but also to the development of students' skills of self-monitoring, self-regulation, and critical thinking. Let's take a closer look at how metacognitive strategies influence various aspects of academic writing. Improving Awareness of Text Structure and Logic One of the most important aspects of academic writing is a clear structure and logic of presentation. Metacognitive strategies help students plan and organize their text, ensuring that all parts of the work (introduction, main body, conclusion) are logically connected to each other and relevant to the topic. Text Planning: The student understands which ideas and arguments need to be included in the work, how to structure them, and what sections and subsections the text should contain. Monitoring: The student periodically checks whether the presentation is logical and makes adjustments if necessary. Evaluation: After completing the work, the student analyzes how convincingly they presented their arguments and whether they achieved the goal set at the beginning. Example: A student is writing an article, and during the planning stage, they decide how best to present the information: begin with theory and then move on to practical examples, or vice versa. During the writing process, they can periodically return to the plan, checking that it has not deviated from the main idea. Improving the Quality of Argumentation and Analysis Academic writing requires a clear and persuasive presentation of arguments. Metacognitive strategies help students develop the ability to self-critique and self-check, which is important for improving the quality of argumentation. Students learn to check whether they have formulated arguments logically, whether they are sufficient to prove the claim, and whether there are alternative points of view that should be considered. Reflection: After writing the text, the student can return to each argument and evaluate its persuasiveness, asking themselves questions:



«Подтверждает ли этот аргумент мою гипотезу?» или «Какие могут быть возражения?» Студент, работающий над аргументированным эссе, может на каждом этапе задавать себе вопросы: «Достаточно ли убедительны мои доказательства?» или «Что можно добавить, чтобы аргумент стал более сильным и подкреплённым фактами?». Улучшение навыков редактирования и самопроверки Один из ключевых аспектов успешного академического письма — это способность к самооценке и редактированию текста. Метакогнитивные стратегии помогают студентам эффективно контролировать качество написания и устранять ошибки. Самопроверка: Студенты могут использовать различные методы для проверки своей работы, включая чтение вслух, использование инструментов проверки орфографии и грамматики, а также применение чек-листов для самооценки. После завершения черновика студенты могут анализировать свой текст на предмет логических ошибок, дублирования идей или недостаточной чёткости. Студент после написания первой версии текста может перечитать его, чтобы убедиться, что в тексте нет повторений, и что каждый абзац связан с предыдущим и поддерживает общую аргументацию. Он может использовать метод чтения вслух, чтобы выявить неуклюжие формулировки или грамматические ошибки. Снижение стресса и повышение уверенности в собственных силах Метакогнитивные стратегии способствуют развитию у студентов уверенности в своих силах, так как они учат планировать и контролировать процесс работы. Это позволяет снизить тревогу и стресс, связанные с написанием академических текстов.

Планирование времени: Студенты учат себя распределять время на разные этапы написания работы, что позволяет избежать спешки и паники в последний момент. Осознание, что написание — это не один процесс, а последовательность шагов (сбор информации, создание плана, написание черновика, редактирование), помогает студентам более спокойно подходить к заданию. Студент заранее планирует, когда он будет собирать материалы для исследования, а когда начнёт писать, оставляя достаточно времени на редактирование и доработку работы. Развитие критического мышления Метакогнитивные стратегии способствуют развитию критического мышления, необходимого для успешного академического письма. Студенты учат себя не только усваивать информацию, но и анализировать её, выявлять возможные противоречия и оценивать источники. Студенты могут сравнивать различные



точки зрения, анализировать источники и выстраивать собственные аргументы, которые не просто повторяют информацию, а добавляют новый взгляд на проблему. Студенты учат себя критически подходить к выбору источников, оценивать их авторитетность и применимость для своей работы. При написании реферата студент не просто переписывает информацию из нескольких источников, но и анализирует, насколько авторитетны эти источники, насколько они противоречат друг другу, и выстраивает на основе этого собственную аргументацию.

### **Список литературы :**

1. Богатырева И.И., Антонов А.В., Курзинер Е.С. Гипертекст, контекст и подтекст в поисково-аналитической системе «Галактика-Zoom»//www.dialog21.ru/dialog2006 / materials/html/Bogatyreva.htm. С. 2. - 30.09.2008. 2 См., например: Залевская А.А. Понимание текста: Психолингвистический подход. Калинин, 1988; Рафикова Н.В. Динамика ядра и периферии семантического поля текста: Автореф. дис. ... канд. филол. наук. Тверь, 1994.
2. Дейк Т.А. ван, Кинч В. Стратегия понимания связного текста// Новое в зарубежной лингвистике. М., 1988.С. 175.
3. Залевская А.А. Некоторые проблемы понимания текста// Вопросы языкознания. 2002. № 3.С. 64.
4. Дейк Т.А. ван, Кинч В. Указ. соч. С. 175. 13 См.: Воскресенский И.В. Эффект обманутых ожиданий// Психолингвистические исследования слова и текста. Тверь, 2001. С. 132-139.
5. Богин Г.И. Школа рефлексии и рефлексивности// Методология современной лингвистики: проблемы, поиски, перспективы. Барнаул, 2000. С. 43.
6. Итоговые наблюдения над сюжетом// en.wikipedia. org/ wiki/Hills\_Like\_White\_Elephants. - 30.09.2008. 16 Горелов И.Н. Невербальные компоненты коммуникации. М., 1980. С. 89.
7. Akhmedov, H. U. (2024). USING INNOVATIVE METHODS IN TEACHING SPEAKING SKILLS. European Science Methodical Journal, 2(6), 539-542.
8. Akhmedov, H. U. (2023). Assimilation is the process of changing the adopted wordInternational Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities. Finland Academic Research Science Publishers Journal



9. АХМЕДОВ, Х.У., ПРЕИМУЩЕСТВА И РИСКИ ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ ОБЛАЧНЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ. ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES PP.54-57
10. АХМЕДОВ, Х.У., 2024. ОПРЕДЕЛЕНИЕ И СТРУКТУРА КОМПЕТЕНЦИЙ, РАЗВИВАЕМЫХ НА БАЗЕ МУЛЬТИМЕДИЙНЫХ И ЦИФРОВЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ. ACADEMIC RESEARCH IN EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES, 5(2), PP.92-95.
11. АХМЕДОВ, Х.У 2024 КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ СТОЯЩИЕ ПЕРЕД ПРИКЛАДНОЙ ЛИНГВОКУЛЬТУРОЛОГИЕЙ FORMATION OF PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGY AS INTERDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES 87-96
12. АХМЕДОВ, Х.У 2024 ПРИМЕНЕНИЕ ПЕРЕДОВЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ ПРИ ИЗУЧЕНИИ ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИЯ "СТАНЦИОННЫЙ СМОТРИТЕЛЬ" А.С. ПУШКИНА В РАМКАХ УЧЕБНЫХ ЗАНЯТИЙ ПО ЛИТЕРАТУРЕ « ZAMONAVIY FILOLOGIK TADQIQOTLAR: NAZARIY, AMALIYOT VA INNOVATSION METODLAR » (XALQARO ILMIY-AMALIY KONFERENSIYA DASTURI) 75-79
13. АХМЕДОВ, Х.У 2024 ОСОЗНАНИЕ СКРЫТЫХ СМЫСЛОВ В ЛИТЕРАТУРЕ "YOSH OLIMLAR, MAGISTR VA IQTIDORLI TALABALARNING ILMIY FAOLIYATINI OSHIRISHDA ULARGA QARATILGAN KREATIV G'OYALAR, YECHIM VA TAKLIFLAR" MAVZUSIDAGI KO'P TARMOQLI RESPUBLIKA ILMIY-ONLAYN 40-46
14. АХМЕДОВ, Х.У 2024 КРИТИЧЕСКИЕ АСПЕКТЫ ИНТЕГРАЦИИ МУЛЬТИМЕДИЙНЫХ И ЦИФРОВЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ В ПРОЦЕСС ОБУЧЕНИЯ РУССКИМ ЯЗЫКАМ TA'LIM VA RIVOJLANISH TANLILI ONLAYN ILMIY JURNAL 38-41
15. АХМЕДОВ, Х.У 2024 СВЯЗЬ РУССКОГО ЯЗЫКА И ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ. PEDAGOG 2024/11/17
16. Ахмедов, Х.У 2025 HOW TO TEACH RUSSIAN TO NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS: TIPS FOR EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION "Экономика и социум" №6(133) 2025.
17. Ахмедов, Х.У 2025 "ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕ МУЛЬТИМОДАЛЬНЫХ МЕТОДИК ОБУЧЕНИЯ ДЛЯ ОБУЧЕНИЯ НАВЫКАМ ЧТЕНИЯ И ПИСЬМА НА РУССКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ У УЧАЩИХСЯ МЛАДШЕГО ВОЗРАСТА". QO'QON DAVLAT PEDAGOGIKA INSTITUTI ILMIY XAVARLARI (2025-yil 5-son).