

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF SAFETY AND RADIATION CONTROL IN RADIOLOGICAL EXAMINATIONS

Sevara Ilhomovna Shukurova

Assistant, Bukhara Innovative Educational
and Medical University

Abstract

This article analyzes the clinical significance of safety and radiation control in radiological examinations. Based on scientific sources, it discusses the effects of diagnostic methods using ionizing radiation, particularly radiography, computed tomography, fluoroscopy, and interventional radiology procedures, on the health of patients and medical staff. The study considers the issues of justified referral for radiological examinations, optimization of radiation dose, adherence to the ALARA principle, application of diagnostic reference levels, and strengthening of individual dosimetric monitoring. The analysis showed that ensuring radiation safety is essential for reducing unnecessary radiation exposure without compromising diagnostic effectiveness, protecting high-risk groups, and improving the quality of clinical decision-making. The study also substantiates the need for the comprehensive implementation of organizational, technical, and preventive measures to improve the quality of radiological services.

Keywords: Radiological examination, radiation safety, radiation control, ionizing radiation, computed tomography, ALARA, dosimetric monitoring, diagnostic reference level, fluoroscopy, interventional radiology.

Introduction

In modern medical practice, radiological examination methods play an important role in early detection of diseases, differential diagnosis, determination of treatment tactics and dynamic monitoring. Although radiography, computed tomography, angiography, fluoroscopy and other examinations based on ionizing radiation are of high diagnostic value in the process of clinical decision-making, their use is associated with a certain degree of radiation risk for patients and medical personnel. Therefore, the issue of ensuring safety and controlling radiation dose in radiological examinations is

considered not only a technical, but also an important clinical and organizational problem today.

The clinical significance of radiation control is determined, first of all, by reducing the excessive radiation load on the patient's body. This is especially relevant for children, pregnant women, patients undergoing repeated examinations due to chronic diseases, and persons under oncological observation. In these categories, even relatively small-dose, but repeatedly repeated examinations can increase the risk of long-term negative consequences. Therefore, radiological safety is closely related not only to the technical characteristics of the device, but also to the correct selection of clinical indications, optimization of examination protocols and the use of an individual approach.

Today, the study of issues related to safety and radiation control in radiological examinations is of particular scientific and practical importance for various areas of medicine, in particular radiology, oncology, pediatrics, surgery and emergency medicine. In this regard, the analysis of modern approaches to safety principles, dose control, justification of clinical indications and reduction of radiation burden in radiological examinations determines the relevance of this topic.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Radiological examinations are an integral part of modern clinical diagnostics and play an important role in the early detection of pathologies of internal organs, assessment of injuries, staging of oncological processes and monitoring of treatment results. However, the issue of ensuring radiation safety for patients and healthcare workers in the practice of methods using ionizing radiation, in particular radiography, computed tomography (CT), fluoroscopy and interventional radiology, is becoming increasingly urgent. According to scientific sources, although the clinical information obtained with the help of diagnostic radiology is very useful, due to the potential biological consequences of radiation exposure, each examination should be evaluated in terms of clinical validity, dose optimization and safety control [1, 2]. The issue of safety is of particular importance in the field of pediatric radiology. The high sensitivity of dividing cells in the body of children and their long lifespan increase the risk of late effects of radiation exposure [5, 8]. The Image Gently initiative recommends the development of separate protocols for children, the abandonment of unnecessary multiphase CT, and the wider use of radiation-free alternatives such as ultrasound and

magnetic resonance imaging [8]. It has been repeatedly stated in scientific sources that the use of standard parameters intended for adults in pediatric practice can lead to excessive dose overload. Therefore, an age-appropriate approach to radiological safety is an important component of both clinical effectiveness and preventive protection.

The issue of radiological examinations in pregnant women is also widely covered in the scientific literature. According to the recommendations of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the World Health Organization (WHO) and various professional societies, radiological examinations in pregnancy are not completely rejected, but are performed on the basis of strict clinical indications and with maximum caution [3, 9]. In this case, the examination area, the estimated fetal dose, the gestational age and the availability of alternative methods are taken into account. The literature shows that delaying a diagnostically necessary examination in a pregnant patient due to unfounded fears can also lead to negative consequences from a clinical point of view [9]. Therefore, safety control means not only reducing radiation, but also balancing risks and benefits on a scientific basis.

In recent years, dose monitoring has emerged as a separate area in diagnostic data management systems. The concept of Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs) is considered in the literature as an effective tool for inter-institutional comparison and standardization of radiation exposure. DRLs can be used to revise protocols, identify excessively high-dose practices, and optimize local strategies without compromising the quality of the examination.

In this sense, conducting dose audits in radiology departments, regularly monitoring the calibration of equipment, and recording the patient's cumulative radiation history through digital information systems are considered urgent tasks of clinical management.

METHOD AND METHODOLOGY

This article is an analytical and descriptive scientific work aimed at highlighting the clinical significance of safety and radiation control in radiological examinations. The methodological basis of the study was the evidence-based medicine approach, the principle of systematic analysis, and modern theoretical views on radiation safety. During the research, the relationship between the diagnostic utility of radiological

examinations, radiation exposure, and clinical safety was evaluated from a scientific point of view.

The methods of theoretical analysis, bibliographic study, comparative analysis, content analysis, analysis and synthesis, inductive and deductive generalization were used in the preparation of the article. Scientific sources in the fields of radiology, medical physics, radiation hygiene, clinical diagnostics, and healthcare organization were studied in their content. Scientific views on the topic were compared based on the criteria of patient safety, protection of medical personnel, diagnostic efficiency, and dose optimization.

The methodological approach took as the main object of analysis the basic principles of radiological safety, namely the clinical validity of the examination, optimization of the radiation dose, an individual approach, the use of diagnostic reference levels and strengthening of dosimetric control. Also, the role of radiological examinations in various clinical situations, especially children, pregnant women, patients with chronic diseases and persons undergoing repeated examinations, was separately analyzed.

In the research methodology, their relevance to the topic, clinical significance, scientific reliability and practical applicability were taken as the main criteria for selecting scientific sources. The results of the analysis served to highlight the fact that safety and radiation control in radiological practice are not only technical, but also clinical, organizational and prophylactic. This methodological approach made it possible to draw scientific conclusions on the rational use of radiological examinations, reducing excessive radiation exposure and improving the quality of the diagnostic process.

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the conducted scientific and analytical study showed that safety and radiation control in radiological examinations are an integral part of modern clinical practice. Although the analyzed literature, international recommendations and clinical approaches confirm the very high diagnostic value of radiological examinations, their unfounded or unoptimized use increases the risk of excessive radiation exposure for patients and medical personnel. In this regard, the issue of radiological safety is not limited to physical or technical control, but has become an important criterion for clinical decision-making. According to the results of the analysis, there are significant differences in radiation exposure between radiography, computed tomography,

fluoroscopy and interventional radiological procedures. While the dose is relatively low in conventional radiological examinations, the radiation exposure may be higher in multiphase computed tomography, long-term fluoroscopic procedures and some interventional procedures. Therefore, when choosing a radiological method, not only its diagnostic potential, but also its radiation profile should be taken into account. This is especially important in clinical situations where repeated examinations are required.

Based on the sources studied, it was found that the balance between the clinical effectiveness and safety of radiological examinations is ensured by the principles of “justification” and “optimization”. Justification means that each radiological examination should be necessary in terms of the patient’s clinical condition, probable diagnosis and expected diagnostic benefit. Optimization implies minimizing the radiation dose while preserving the necessary diagnostic information. The analysis showed that in institutions where these two principles are fully implemented, there is less adverse radiation exposure and the quality of the examination is relatively stable. During the discussion, special attention was paid to the problem of unreasonable repetition of radiological examinations. In many clinical situations, patients may undergo the same or similar examinations several times at different stages. This situation is especially common in emergency medicine, traumatology, oncology and surgery. If examinations are ordered without taking into account previous results or without a clear clinical indication, this increases the cumulative radiation dose to the patient. Therefore, the clinical significance of radiation control is determined not only by managing the cumulative dose load within a single procedure, but also throughout the entire treatment process.

According to the results of the analysis, there are several effective methods for dose optimization in CT. Among them, the examination zone include limiting the dose, adapting the exposure parameters to the patient's age and body composition, reducing the number of multiphase protocols, using automatic dose control and iterative reconstruction algorithms. These approaches help to significantly reduce the radiation load while maintaining the quality of clinical information. At the same time, dose reduction should not reduce diagnostic informativeness. Therefore, the issue under discussion is based on the principle of "reasonable and sufficient dose", not "low dose".

Analysis of pediatric practice has shown that one of the most urgent areas of radiological safety is the optimization of examinations in children. Since the children's body is more sensitive to ionizing radiation, the use of standard adult protocols in them increases the risk of excessive radiation. Therefore, reducing the examination area in children, adapting the parameters to age and body weight, and using alternative methods such as ultrasound or MRI, if necessary, are of particular importance. As a result of the discussion, it can be concluded that compliance with safety criteria in pediatric radiology is not only radiation prevention, but also an indicator of clinical quality.

The issue of examination of pregnant women also occupied an important place in the analysis. Scientific sources show that pregnancy is not an absolute contraindication for radiological examination, but in each case it is necessary to thoroughly assess the clinical necessity, the area of examination, the possible fetal impact and the availability of alternative methods. The discussion showed that while unjustified radiological examinations in pregnancy can pose a risk, unreasonably delaying a clinically necessary examination can also have serious consequences for the health of the mother and fetus. Therefore, safety control in this category is based on the principle of “correctly selected and controlled examination”, not “no examination”. The results on interventional radiology highlighted another important aspect of radiation safety. Due to fluoroscopy and long exposure times in these procedures, both the local dose to the patient and the occupational radiation exposure of the operator increase. Therefore, it is necessary to strictly adhere to the principles of collimation, pulsed fluoroscopy, distance and shielding, use lead protective equipment, and establish real-time dosimetric monitoring. The analysis showed that technical culture and adherence to safety protocols in interventional radiology play an important role in reducing complications and maintaining occupational health.

As a result of the discussion, the radiological safety of medical workers emerged as a separate issue. X-ray technicians, radiologists, interventional specialists and operating room staff may be exposed to long-term occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, the use of individual dosimeters, protective aprons, shields, goggles and thyroid protection devices, as well as compliance with the sanitary and regulatory requirements of the workplace, is necessary. The availability of technical equipment alone is not enough, but regular updating of knowledge and skills of employees on radiation safety is also required. Therefore, radiation control should be considered as

a single system of organizational, technical and educational components. The results of the study also showed that the introduction of diagnostic reference levels, dose monitoring systems and electronic databases is important in improving the quality of radiological services. Systematic recording of dose indicators, on the one hand, allows for comparison of the effectiveness of different devices and protocols, and on the other hand, helps to timely identify practices leading to excessive exposure. During the discussion, it became clear that the quality of radiological examinations should be assessed not only by the image resolution, but also by the dose received. This forms a new clinical approach to quality control in radiological practice.

Based on the analyzed scientific sources, it was found that the use of clinical decision support systems and standardized recommendations when ordering radiological examinations can reduce the number of inappropriate examinations. The presence of clear algorithms for referring to radiological examinations, especially in primary care, emergency care and inpatient practice, reduces the proportion of unnecessary CT and X-ray examinations. This not only increases patient safety, but also ensures cost-effectiveness. Thus, ensuring radiological safety is not only a task assigned to the radiologist, but also a multi-stage process that requires the cooperation of clinicians, technicians and medical institution management.

In general, the results of the analytical study confirmed the great clinical importance of safety and radiation control in radiological examinations. It reduces the patient's radiation exposure, better protects individuals at risk, serves to maintain the health of medical personnel, and increases the quality and efficiency of the diagnostic process. As a result of the discussion, the following scientific and practical conclusion can be drawn: the true clinical value of radiological examinations is manifested not only in the accuracy of diagnosis, but also in their safe, justified and optimized implementation.

CONCLUSION

The conducted scientific and analytical studies have shown that safety and radiation control in radiological examinations are an important component of modern clinical practice. Although diagnostic methods based on ionizing radiation are of high clinical importance in the early detection of diseases, assessment of pathological processes and determination of treatment tactics, their unreasonable or uncontrolled use increases the risk of radiation exposure for patients and medical personnel.

The results of the analysis confirmed that the clinical effectiveness of radiological examinations directly depends on their safe, justified and optimized use. Especially in computed tomography, fluoroscopy and interventional radiological procedures, it is of particular importance to control the radiation dose, to adapt the examination parameters to the age, clinical condition and individual characteristics of the patient. Pediatric patients, pregnant women, people under oncological observation and patients in need of repeated examinations are groups that require special attention in terms of radiation risk.

The principles of justification, optimization and dosimetric control occupy a leading position in ensuring radiological safety. Before prescribing an examination, its clinical necessity should be fully assessed, and alternative methods with no or low radiation should be considered as much as possible. At the same time, technological and organizational measures aimed at reducing the dose load while maintaining diagnostic quality serve to improve the quality of radiological services.

Thus, safety and radiation control in radiological examinations are not only a preventive and technical issue, but also an important clinical factor determining diagnostic efficiency, patient safety, occupational health and the quality of medical services.

REFERENCES

1. Normative and methodological documents on the organization of radiological services and ensuring radiation safety in the healthcare system of the Republic of Uzbekistan. — Tashkent, 2020.
2. Sanitary rules and safety standards when working with ionizing radiation sources in the Republic of Uzbekistan. — Tashkent, 2020.
3. European Society of Radiology. ESR EuroSafe Imaging: call for action on radiation protection // Insights into Imaging. — 2014. — Vol. 5, No. 3. — P. 317–331.
4. World Health Organization. Communicating Radiation Risks in Paediatric Imaging: Information to Support Healthcare Discussions about Benefit and Risk. — Geneva: WHO, 2016.
5. International Atomic Energy Agency. Radiation Protection and Safety in Medical Uses of Ionizing Radiation. — Vienna: IAEA, 2018.
6. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®. — Reston, VA: American College of Radiology, 2020.



7. Hall EJ, Giaccia AJ. Radiobiology for the Radiologist. — 7th ed. — Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2012.
8. McCollough C.H., Primak A.N., Brown N. et al. Strategies for reducing radiation dose in CT // Radiologic Clinics of North America. — 2009. — Vol. 47, No. 1. — P. 27–40.
9. Trufanov G.E. Luchevaya diagnostics. — Moscow: GEOTAR-Media, 2011.
10. Ilin L.A. Radiation hygiene. — Moscow: GEOTAR-Media, 2010.